VOL. VII

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

-vs-

10-CR-219S

TONAWANDA COKE CORPORATION MARK L. KAMHOLZ,

Defendants.

Proceedings held before the Honorable William M Skretny, U.S. Courthouse, 2 Niagara Circle, Buffalo, New York on March 7, 2013.

APPEARANCES:

AARON J. MANGO, Assistant United States Attorney, ROCKY PIAGGIONE, Senior Counsel, U.S. Department of Justice, Appearing for the United States.

GREGORY F. LINSIN, ESQ.,
JEANNE M. GRASSO, ESQ.,
ARIEL S. GLASNER, ESQ.,
Appearing for Tonawanda Coke Corporation.

RODNEY PERSONIUS, ESQ., Appearing for Mark L. Kamholz.

Also Present: Lauren DiFillipo, Paralegal Sheila Henderson, Paralegal

Michelle L. McLaughlin, RPR, Official Reporter, U.S.D.C. W.D.N.Y. (716)332-3560

Case 1:10-cr-00219-WMS-HKS	Document 322	Filed 10/10/14	Page 2 of 229
			1 Δ 1

		1411
1	INDEX	
2	WITNESS	PAGE
3	FRANK DAVID GONZALEZ	1 4 0 0
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Piaggione Cross-Examination by Mr. Linsin Cross-Examination by Mr. Personius	1420 1443 1458
5	Redirect Examination by Mr. Piaggnione Recross-Examination by Mr. Linsin	1478
6	Recross-Examination by Mr. Personius	1479
7	JAMES CRATSLEY Direct Examination by Mr. Mango	1484
8	Cross-Examination by Mr. Linsin	1494
9	Cross-Examination by Mr. Personius Redirect Examination by Mr. Mango	1497 1507
10	SEAN HOFFMANN Direct Examination by Mr. Piaggione	1510
11	Cross-Examination by Mr. Personius	1547
12	Cross-Examination by Mr. Linsin	1594
13	KEITH HUTCHINSON Direct Examination by Mr. Mango	1606
13	Cross-Examination by Mr. Linsin	1621
14	Cross-Examination by Mr. Personius Redirect Examination by Mr. Mango	1628 1635
15	Redirect Examination by Mr. Mango	1033
16		
17	GOVERNMENT EXHBITS	EVD.
18	29	1421
19	21.61 49.12 3.02	1492 1513 1521
20	3.03	1638
21	3.04 3.05	1526 1527
22	3.07 3.08	1528 1531
23	3.09 119.01	1532 1543
24	105.40	1560
25		

	Case 1:10-cr-00219-WMS-HKS Document 322 Filed 10/10/14	Page 3 of 229
		1412
1	INDEX	
2	DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS	EVD.
3	טטט	1556
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

(Jury not present in the courtroom.) 1 2 MR. PIAGGIONE: Morning, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Piaggione. 4 Okay. Miss Labuzzetta, I don't mind if 5 everybody keeps on doing what you're doing, but 6 we'll just call the case, please. 7 THE CLERK: Criminal case 10-219S, United 8 States of America versus Tonawanda Coke and Mark 9 Kamholz. 10 Okay. I just would like to THE COURT: 11 know if there are any issues that we have to 12 address before we get started. I'll need a few 13 minutes just to get organized and get ready. MR. LINSIN: None on behalf of Tonawanda 14 15 Coke, your Honor. 16 MR. PERSONIUS: No, your Honor. 17 MR. PIAGGIONE: Just a point of 18 clarification, a little change in the schedule. 19 We're calling Frank Gonzalez first. 20 THE COURT: What? 21 MR. PIAGGIONE: Frank Gonzalez. 22 Originally, I indicated Sean Hoffmann would be 23 first. We're going to call Frank Gonzalez first. 24 THE COURT: How do you spell his last 25 name?

MR. PIAGGIONE: G-O-N.

THE COURT: V-O-N. Okay.

MR. PIAGGIONE: G as in George.

THE COURT: Oh, G. Okay.

MR. PIAGGIONE: Sorry, your Honor. Must be my New York City upbringing.

THE COURT: I don't think so. I'm developing more impediments than I'd like to think about these days.

MR. PIAGGIONE: Just a point of clarification, your Honor. I will not ask him about showing Hoffmann's photographs either.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay. All right. By the way, I don't know, those of you that were here for that last status report on that April 9th trial date, I'm not trying to afford you a cushion, I still want to move this case along. All right. And, you know, we have promised the jury what we did in terms of 30 days.

MR. LINSIN: And your Honor, as I was
listening to counsel in that last meeting -- we, of
course, because we also have out-of-town experts,
have a strong scheduling interest in understanding
where things are. My one request in that regard -I know this sometimes happens in a trial where this

many witnesses have been noticed -- if the government perceives that it feels comfortable in jettisoning some of these witnesses and reducing its schedule, we would greatly appreciate knowing that as well so we can plan and schedule accordingly.

THE COURT: Okay. If it means plugging in witnesses in each other's cases or whatever, you know, I'd be open to that if you are agreeable to that because we do have to move this on. But again, it's important so I want to make sure that everybody gets the full opportunity for your entire cases.

MR. PIAGGIONE: Your Honor, we're actually attempting to do that. We're in the process of trying to do that, trying to cull things down. And as soon as we can see maybe light at the end of the tunnel, we'll let defense counsel know. I don't anticipate -- although it depends on what's coming here. I don't anticipate our case going beyond next Friday.

MR. LINSIN: That's actually very helpful information to know, your Honor. And if that includes an evaluation and a decision then to remove certain witnesses from your order of proof,

if you could obviously notify us and the Court as quickly as possible, so we would then target our defense case for the beginning of the following week, that's very helpful information to know.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Yeah. That would be the 18th, then.

MR. LINSIN: Exactly.

THE COURT: Yes. Okay. Well, let's -we'll work with that. If there's changes on that,
please let all of us know so that we can make
whatever arrangements we need to. Okay. All
right. Thank you.

Okay. So, Mr. Gonzalez will be first. I need probably about five minutes, and then we should be able to get started.

(Short recess was taken.)

THE COURT: Chris, you might want to bring in the jury. The gallery can sit down. The attorneys probably want to stand until we get the jury in.

(Jury seated.)

THE COURT: It's been a long time, but we're back together again. Good morning. Please have a seat.

All right. Good to see everybody again. Hope you had a good trip in and we're about ready to start, I think. And as you know, we're back on in the case of United States versus Tonawanda Coke Corporation and Mark Kamholz, the defendants.

Please keep your minds open. Don't lose sight of the fact that this is important to both sides, and what it's going to take for you to resolve those fact issues in this case so that you can determine whether or not the government has proven each of the charges that are pending against the defendants. Has to be an individual analysis of each defendant as to each count. And the government has that exclusive burden of proving the case beyond a reasonable doubt on each essential element of the crime charged.

So, you are, as you know, upcoming in your deliberations the judges of the facts, and we will continue with that hoping your minds remain open. Remember, you're going to get everything you need in the courtroom here. So we're about ready to start with a next and new witness, and I think maybe your witness, is that right, Mr. Piaggione?

MR. PIAGGIONE: Yes, your Honor. You're a clairvoyant.

THE COURT: Okay. I don't know how to take that exactly, but we'll assume it's a complement.

MR. PIAGGIONE: It was meant in all the -- as positive a way as possible.

THE COURT: All right. Good. All the attorneys and parities are back present. You, of course, are here, ladies and gentlemen, roll call waived.

You want to call your next witness, please.

MR. PIAGGIONE: Yes, your Honor. The government would call Frank Gonzalez.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Witness, if you just sort of make your way, I'll tell you when to stop. We'll have you stop right there, and you're going to turn around towards the jury. We're going to have you sworn in.

F R A N K G O N Z A L E Z, having been duly sworn as a witness, testified as follows:

THE COURT: Be careful when you come into the box there. Good. Couple of preliminary instructions while you're pouring your water there. And I guarantee that was fresh as of this morning, so that will help you out a little bit. Keep in mind a couple of things. And you should -- if

you're about where you're at, if you speak at the microphone, it's going to pick you up. It's a pretty friendly device. And we ask that you sort of make sure your answers go towards the jury because you're here to testify for their benefit.

If you don't understand a question, let me know, let the attorneys know, all right, until we get it straightened out. Don't answer a question that you don't understand. Be as responsive as you can. Don't volunteer information. That usually complicates matters. If you can answer a question yes or no, do your best to do that.

If there's an objection -- and attorneys usually do object, on, you know, a couple of occasions every once in a while -- let me rule on the objection first, then I will tell you either answer the question or wait for another question, or I'll give you some other directive. Okay?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. And just keep your voice up. You know, it will come out clearly on the microphone.

State your full name, please. Spell your last name.

THE WITNESS: My name is Frank David

1 Gonzalez, G-O-N-Z-A-L-E-Z. 2 THE COURT: Good. Sounds great. 3 Your witness, Mr. Piaggione. 4 MR. PIAGGIONE: Thank you, your Honor. 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PIAGGIONE: 6 Mr. Gonzalez, where are you employed? Q. 7 Tonawanda Coke. Α. 8 And how long have you been employed there? Q. 9 Nineteen years now. Α. 10 Q. Okay. And what is your current position? 11 Oven supervisor. Α. 12 Q. Okay. And what are some of the other positions 13 you've held prior to becoming oven supervisor? 14 Α. I was a general foreman. Before I became a 15 salary, I worked just about all the jobs there 16 starting from the wharf to the charge car, 17 backdoor, by-products operator. 18 Okay. And so you worked at by-products, you 19 worked on the wharf, you said? 20 Worked on the wharf. Α. 21 What's the wharf? Ο. 22

A. It's where they take the coke and coke is placed on this platform. From there, it goes into the building -- goes into a building where it's separated.

23

24

```
1
         Okay. And you're familiar with the
      Q.
 2
      organizational structure of Tonawanda Coke?
 3
         Yes, I am.
      Α.
 4
      Q. Okay.
 5
               MR. PIAGGIONE: Your Honor, I'm going to
 6
      mark for identification Government's Exhibit Number
 7
      29, and absent any objections from the defense, I'd
 8
      ask that it be introduced into evidence.
 9
               THE COURT: That something we don't have
10
      on our list or --
11
               MR. PIAGGIONE: It's not been introduced
12
      before.
13
               THE COURT: Okay.
14
               MR. LINSIN: No objection, your honor.
15
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
16
               MR. PERSONIUS: No objection, your Honor.
17
               THE COURT: Okay. 29 received into
18
      evidence. No objection.
19
          Do you want it published?
20
               MR. MANGO: Yes, your Honor. Thank you,
21
      your Honor.
22
               THE COURT: You're welcome.
23
               (Government Exhibit 29 was received into
24
               evidence.)
25
     BY MR. PIAGGIONE:
```

Q. Let the record reflect that the exhibit has the date of 3/24/09.

Now Mr. Gonzalez, I'd ask you, where is your position on that chart?

- A. As of now?
- Q. Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- A. Battery supervisor.
- Q. So if you touch the screen -- we forgot to tell you about this. There you go. Hey, you did that great the first time out. That's wonderful.
- Okay. Just prior to that, where were you? You were the general foreman, you said?
- A. General foreman.
 - Q. And your -- your supervisors would be, then, the assistant plant superintendent?
 - A. People that I answer to?
- 17 Q. Yes.
- 18 A. Yeah. Assistant superintendent and plant superintendent.
- Q. All right. And just for clarification, where would -- are you familiar with Mr. Kamholz?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Where is his position on that chart?
- 24 A. Environmental manager.
- 25 Q. Yes. Want to touch it? Okay.

And do you recall -- have you worked with an individual named Pat Cahill?

A. Yes.

Q. At one time he was the by-products foreman. Where would that be on the chart?

Okay. If I can summarize this for the record. In the middle of the chart, the bottom of the -the tree of arrows is the general foreman's box,
which is clicked and the battery supervisor box
which is clicked. For the environmental QA
manager, as it's called, Mr. Kamholz's position, it
would be immediately to the right of the box above
it, which is called president and that's the box
immediately to the right of that. Below it. And
by-products foreman is indicated to be the third
box from the center on the second line of the
organizational chart from the bottom.

THE COURT: Let me ask you this: Are you going to move this -- well, there's no objection to this. So it's self-explanatory on that basis.

MR. PIAGGIONE: I just wanted to clarify for the record, your Honor, so it would be clean.

Miss DiFillipo.

BY MR. PIAGGIONE:

Q. Now, with respect to your position as general

- foreman of the battery, how long were you in that position?
 - A. Six years maybe. If I can remember.
 - Q. Okay. Going back from where -- from when to when?
 - A. From '99 to 2000.
 - Q. Okay. And after 2000 did you continue to work on the battery?
 - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 10 Q. Okay. In what position?
- 11 A. I was general foreman from 2000 on and then --
- 12 then I was -- I was asked to be battery supervisor.
- Q. Okay. So when you said "from 2000 on," do you mean 2000 going 2001, 2002?
- 15 A. Going up. Yeah.
- 16 Q. For how many years were you in that position?
- A. I'd have to look. I'd say at least six, seven years, maybe.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. Maybe longer.
- Q. Okay. So, between 2000 to 2008 then you were a foreman, you would say?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. On the battery?
- 25 A. On battery.

- Q. So you know what the term "back pressure" is?
- A. Yes, I do.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. What is it?
- A. The amount of gas being held back on ovens.
- Q. Okay. And when you started working on the battery, what was the back pressure set at? Do you know?
- A. When I first started, it was anywhere from five to six.
- Q. All right. And did there come a time that you were advised that the back pressure was going to be raised?
- 13 A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you know approximately when that was?
- A. I don't recall the exact year when it was. I know how it came about.
- 17 | Q. Okay. How did it come about?
 - A. They had a consultant come in by the name of

 Tom Bermingham, and at that time we were having

 stack issues where the stack was -- kept on going

 off. So Mr. Bermingham's idea was the higher your

 back pressure, the more carbon you build, the less

 the stack would smoke.
 - Q. Okay. And do you know what a 303 inspection is?

A. Yes, I do.

- Q. Okay. And what is that?
- A. We have an inspector come in each day. Every day he comes in he does -- he comes in and he inspects our doors. He'll walk and if he sees any visible emissions, he will mark them down. Also, he will walk the top of the ovens and look for lids and offtakes. If he sees anything leaking, he will write it down.
- Q. And what effect did the raising of the back pressure have on the compliance with 303 inspections, if any?
 - A. The higher the back pressure, the harder it is to seal the ovens. The more emissions you would have on the doors and the top.
- Q. All right. Did you start getting any instructions from your supervisors regarding the number of emissions that were coming from the doors?
 - A. Our supervisor -- meaning plant superintendent?
- 21 | Q. Yes.
- A. If our door numbers started to come up, they
 would tell us we have to do better. You guys got
 to do better sealing the doors.
 - Q. Okay. And who were your plant supervisors at

the time?

- A. I had, I'm pretty sure, Gerry Priamo and Dan Heukrath. I know Gerry was there for a little while and Danny took over there for a while, also. Danny took over the plant superintendent there for a while.
- Q. All right. So did you start having higher numbers?
- A. Yes. As soon as the back pressure came up to eight, it definitely brought our numbers up. We had more problems sealing the doors.
- Q. So did you attempt to seal the doors?
 - A. Oh, yeah. Yes, there was no -- I mean, we -- we tried everything we could.
 - MR. PERSONIUS: Forgive me for interrupting, Rocky. Your Honor, could we have a time frame, please, when this is happening.

18 THE COURT: Sure. Give us a time frame.

MR. PIAGGIONE: He said, your Honor, that he couldn't give us the exact year --

THE COURT: No, no, no. Ask the witness.

MR. PIAGGIONE: Okay.

BY MR. PIAGGIONE:

Q. Do you remember the time frame we're talking about? Was it 2004, 2005, 2006?

1 I would say 2000 -- I think 2006 is when 2 Bermingham was there. Maybe 2005. 2005, 2006. 3 Q. Okay. 4 MR. PIAGGIONE: Is that okay, Rod? 5 MR. PERSONIUS: Yes, thank you. 6 MR. LINSIN: Well, your Honor, as -- as I 7 understood the testimony, the witness had said the 8 back pressure had begun at five or six. He was 9 then testifying about a time when the back pressure 10 had gotten to eight. 11 THE COURT: Yes. 12 MR. LINSIN: That would be my -- not 13 necessarily when Mr. Bermingham started, but when 14 it was that the back pressure had been raised to 15 eight. 16 THE COURT: Well, I think that took place 17 when Mr. Bermingham was there, correct? 18 THE WITNESS: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Lets -- lets -- when it 20 was raised to eight, was that the result of the 21 directives that were received from Mr. Bermingham? 22 THE WITNESS: Yes. 23 THE COURT: Okay. And as best as you can 24 tell us, that was approximately when?

THE WITNESS: 2005, 2006.

1 THE COURT: Okay. And before that it was 2 set at five, is that correct? 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. It was set at five 4 before he came. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 6 MR. PIAGGIONE: Thank you, your Honor. 7 BY MR. PIAGGIONE: 8 Who were the -- I think -- who were the plant 9 supervisors at that time? Do you remember? 10 A. The plant supervisors or the plant 11 superintendent? 12 Q. Superintendent, excuse me. 13 Gerry Priamo and Danny Heukrath. I think Danny 14 was the assistant superintendent. 15 Q. Okay. And when you got these notes from them 16 saying it was too high, what did you do, if 17 anything, to the back pressure to prevent too many 18 leaks from the doors? 19 A. After a while --20 MR. PERSONIUS: Whoa, whoa. I'm sorry. 21 I'm sorry. 22 Your Honor, I object. I think the question is 23 based on facts that I don't recall being in 24 evidence.

THE COURT: I think that's right. I'll

sustain the objection.

MR. PIAGGIONE: Okay. I'm sorry. I thought he had testified that --

THE COURT: No. Whatever you thought, you didn't think right, so start again.

BY MR. PIAGGIONE:

- Q. Did you get any notes that your numbers were high from the superintendents?
- A. It was -- yeah. They would come -- or they would say, "Yeah, leave a note and tell the guys that the doors are too high."
- Q. So you got instructions from them that the doors were too high?
- A. Yes.
- Q. What did you do, if anything, to prevent the leaks from the doors?
 - A. After -- after a while trying so hard to keep the doors, we knew as foremen when we were up there with the other foremen, we knew that we couldn't keep it at eight. There was no way to keep it at eight and keep our door numbers down. So one day we decided we were going to bring it down.
 - Q. When you say "we," is it --
 - A. I did. I brought it down.
 - Q. Did you have a conversation with the other

general foremen about that?

- A. Yeah. They were like, "Hey, what did you guys do to pass today?" And it was like, "Well, we just lowered the back pressure." And they were like, "Oh, okay." And all of a sudden everybody just caught on the other general foremen caught on and it started became a routine for them.
- Q. Okay. And who were the names of the other general foremen at that time?
- A. You had John Bowman. I believe Tony Brossack was one. I believe Dave -- Dave Dahl. I can't remember the other one now. There was one other.
- Q. Was there a Pete Dolan?
 - A. Yeah, Pete Dolan.
 - Q. As a result with the other general foremen, did the lowering of the 303 inspections become a common practice on the battery?
 - MR. LINSIN: Objection, your Honor.
 - THE COURT: Grounds?
 - MR. LINSIN: That -- there has been no testimony from this witness as to when these adjustments were made or for what purpose.
- THE COURT: All right. Reput a question.

 24 BY MR. PIAGGIONE:
 - Q. Okay. When you had this conversation,

- approximately when was that in time? Do you remember what year it was?
- A. 2000, 2005, 2006.
- Q. And as a result of them -- excuse me. As a result of your conversations with the general foremen, was the lowering of the back pressure during 303 inspections the common practice on the battery during the period that the back pressure was eight or higher?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. Okay. Now, did you do that to avoid the work of sealing the doors?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 | Q. Okay. Was it to reduce visible leaks?
- 15 A. Yes.
- MR. LINSIN: Objection. Leading, your

 Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 19 BY MR. PIAGGIONE:
- Q. What was the purpose of lowering the back pressure?
- 22 A. So that it would give us less leaks.
- Q. Okay. Now, did you have a conversation with
 any of the plant superintendents about -- or
 assistant plant superintendents about the lowering

```
1
      of the back pressure?
 2
      Α.
          Yes.
 3
          Who did you have that conversation with?
      Q.
 4
         Dan Heukrath.
      Α.
 5
      Q. Okay. Now, were you ever disciplined -- did
 6
      you tell him that you were lowering the back
 7
      pressure?
 8
         He asked if we were lowering -- somebody had
9
      told him.
10
               THE COURT: Give us a time frame, again,
11
      on this conversation.
12
               THE WITNESS: 2006.
13
               THE COURT: Thank you.
     BY MR. PIAGGIONE:
14
15
      Q.
         Okay.
16
      Α.
         He asked.
17
         And you told him?
      Q.
18
         We told him that we had lowered the back
19
      pressure.
20
      Q.
         Okay.
21
               MR. PERSONIUS: Forgive me. Could we have
22
      identification who the "we" is, please?
23
               THE COURT: Sure.
24
     BY MR. PIAGGIONE:
```

25

Is it you?

- A. Me. Yes.
- Q. Were you ever disciplined for reducing the back pressure?
 - A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

19

- Q. Did you continue to reduce the back pressure?
- A. If the number was set to eight, yes. Only at times. It wasn't all the time. It depended on -- it depended on the inspector.
 - Q. Okay. How about when Inspector Kibler came?
- A. Mr. Kibler, he's definitely there to do his job.
- 12 Q. Which means what?
 - A. Which means he will -- if you have a leak, you're going to get a leak.
 - Q. So does that mean -- does that mean you lowered the back pressure or raised the back pressure?
- A. If we knew Mr. Kibler was going to come in, we'd lower it.
 - MR. PERSONIUS: And again, Judge, forgive me, could we find out to the "we" is?
- 21 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. The GFs.
- 22 BY MR. PIAGGIONE:
- Q. Who's the GFs?
- 24 A. Tony Brossack, Pete Dolan, myself.
- 25 Q. Okay. And to your knowledge, was anyone ever

disciplined for this practice?

A. No.

- Q. Okay. As a general foreman, are you familiar with the two quench towers at Tonawanda Coke Corporation?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Incidentally -- I'll come back. I forgot to ask you this.

Did there come a time that the back pressure was reduced back to five to six as the routine setting?

- A. Yes.
 - Q. When was that approximately?
- A. After Tom Bermingham was gone.
- \parallel Q. Do you know what year that might have been?
- 16 A. 2008, maybe.
- 17 | Q. Okay.

MR. LINSIN: Your Honor, if the witness is guessing, I would object. If it is a maybe, I don't believe that's competent testimony.

THE COURT: Well, I think it goes to the weight and you can explore that, but let's see if you can make it more definite. If not, the witness will give us his best approximation or if he can be specific what year we're talking about.

1 Ask the question, please, Mr. Piaggione. 2 MR. PIAGGIONE: Yes, your Honor. 3 BY MR. PIAGGIONE: 4 Q. Can you say which year you think the 5 pressure -- back pressure was returned to five or 6 six as a routine setting? 7 I can't say the year. Α. 8 Is there an event? 0. 9 That's what I'm trying to remember, if there Α. 10 was an event. I know who brought the back pressure 11 down back to five to six. 12 Okay. The question is, though --13 Yes. My best would be 2008. That's what --Α. 14 THE COURT: Okay. We'll let it stand at 15 that. Move forward, please. 16 MR. PIAGGIONE: Thank you, your Honor. 17 BY MR. PIAGGIONE: 18 Who was responsible for getting the back 19 pressure back down to five or six? 20 I believe Gerry was. Gerry was. Α. 21 Who is Gerry? Q. 22 Α. Gerry Priamo. 23 Okay. Now, going back to the quench towers at Q. 24 Tonawanda Coke, how many are there?

25

Α.

There's two.

- Q. And what are they called?
- A. They're called quench stations.
- Q. Okay. And is there a west one and east one?
 One, numbered one?
 - A. There is a number one and a number two.
- Q. Okay.

- A. Number two is the west, I think. Number one and number two.
- Q. Okay. Which is the one closest to the river?
- A. Number one.
 - Q. Okay. And do you remember how often the two quench towers were used when you first began working on the battery -- and approximately what year did you begin working on the battery?
- A. When I first began working on the battery, '95.
- Q. Okay. At that time do you recall how often the number one tower and the number two tower were being used?
 - A. Number two was used most, but number one was also -- we would -- there was times that we did alternate towers, meaning go from one to the other and you go -- catch one, go into the number one quench station, catch another, go to number two quench station. This would happen throughout the shift.

- Q. And how long did that last, as far as you can recall?
- A. It's hard to say, because there was times when the quench station was down.
- Q. Right. Before the quench tower was down, how long did the frequency of using one tower as opposed to the other last? Was it a year, a month after you started? Was it a day after you started?
- A. Can you repeat the question?
- Q. Sure. How long after you started working on the battery did that practice continue? Did it last a day, a week, a month, a year?
- A. A year.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. Okay. Did it last two years?
- MR. LINSIN: Objection. Asked and answered.
- THE COURT: Yes. It's leading as well.

 Sustained. Please move on.
- 19 BY MR. PIAGGIONE:
- Q. And subsequently did that change -- that frequency change?
- 22 A. Yes, it did.
- 23 Q. Approximately when did that happen?
- 24 A. If I were to guess --
- MR. PERSONIUS: Your Honor --

MR. LINSIN: Objection. 1 2 BY MR. PIAGGIONE: 3 You can't quess. Q. 4 I know I can't guess. I'm trying to remember 5 these things. It's kind of hard. Years -- a lot 6 of years --7 THE COURT: Stay right there. And then 8 we're going to have another question put to you so 9 that you -- it will make it a little bit easier for 10 you to know what you're answering. 11 Go ahead, Mr. Piaggione. 12 MR. PIAGGIONE: Thank you, your honor. 13 BY MR. PIAGGIONE: 14 Do you remember the frequency of how the quench 15 towers were used between the years 2005 and 2009? 16 A. Yes. I believe it was -- you were supposed to 17 only go to the west -- or you were supposed to go 18 to number one only one or two times per shift, and 19 number two was the rest of the shift. 20 Okay. And was that practice always followed? Q. 21 Whether it was followed, I couldn't -- I 22 couldn't -- I couldn't say whether it was followed. 23 I know when I was there or if I told the guys

guys did. On the off shift, if they did anything

that's what I wanted them to do, that's what my

different --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. No. I'm asking about when you were there.
- A. When I was there, that's what it was. Yeah.
 - Q. Did that ever change at all while you were working between 2005 and 2009?
- A. Yes. For a while there, they actually put some rail stops in to the tower.
 - Q. Which tower was that?
- A. Number one.
- 10 Q. Okay. And when was that?
- 11 A. 2006.
- 12 Q. Okay. For how long did that last?
- 13 A. That was there for a couple years, two years.
- Q. Okay. Now, did the frequency of use change in the wintertime, prior to the closing of that one
- 16 | rail?
- A. Yes. I think they would go in there a little more frequent to keep it from freezing up.
- Q. When you say "a little more frequent," what do you mean?
- 21 A. Instead of one or two times a shift, it would 22 be maybe --
- MR. LINSIN: Objection to maybe.
- THE WITNESS: Sorry. Instead of one to two times, five times out of the shift.

BY MR. PIAGGIONE:

1

2

3

4

6

7

- Q. Okay. And after the rail was removed --
- A. The rail stop?
- Q. Yes. How often was it used?
- 5 A. I don't recall.
 - Q. In the wintertime?
 - A. Oh, in the wintertime. Five times out of the shift.
- 9 Q. So, if you had -- well, okay.

 10 How long is a shift?
- 11 A. Eight hours.
- 12 Q. Okay. And how many shifts were there in a day?
- 13 A. Three shifts.
- Q. Okay. Other than when the east tower was

 shortened -- do you remember when the east tower

 was shortened? The number two tower, when it was

 shortened? Was the east number two tower
- 18 shortened?
- 19 A. What do you mean shortened, Rock?
- 20 Q. In height.
- 21 A. Oh, in height. I don't recall them doing that.
- Q. Okay. Was the number two tower out of commission at any time?
- A. There was a time that it was out of commission and we used just number one. There was times where

the -- I believe there was a time where we lost the pumps in number two tower and there was no pump in the tower so we had to use number one.

- Q. All right. Now, was there a time that you also worked in the by-products area?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And when was that? Without guessing.
- A. '97.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

18

- 9 Q. Okay. And at that time were you aware of something called a bleeder valve?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. All right. Could you tell us what that was?
- 13 A. It was a bleeder valve on the top of the gas
- 14 line. Purpose of the bleeder valve was to --
- MR. PERSONIUS: Your Honor, he wasn't asked the purpose.
- 17 BY MR. PIAGGIONE:
 - O. What was its function?
- A. The function of the valve was to relieve the pressure as the pressure got too high.
 - Q. Okay. Did you know -- what pressure?
- A. The pressure in the line -- the pressure in the lines.
- 24 Q. Okay. What lines?
- 25 A. The gas lines.

- Q. Okay. Would that -- what kind of gas lines?
- A. Coke oven gas.
- Q. Okay. Now, when you were there, did you observe the pressure relief -- the bleeder valves release coke oven gas?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

- Q. Okay. How often did you observe it -- how frequent did that occur?
- A. Every 20 minutes.
- MR. PIAGGIONE: I have no further questions, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Piaggione. Thank
 you.
- 14 Mr. Linsin.
 - MR. LINSIN: Thank you, your Honor.

 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LINSIN:
- 17 Q. Good morning, Mr. Gonzalez.
- 18 A. Good morning.
- Q. Let me ask you before I begin, sir. Just
 because I ask you a question doesn't mean you have
 to answer it. If a truthful answer to my question
 is, I don't remember, please tell us that. Okay?
- 23 A. Okay.
- Q. My first question is: How long did Mr. Tom

 Bermingham serve as a consultant for the Tonawanda

- Coke Corporation?
- A. How long?

1

2

3

4

5

6

- Q. That was my question, yes.
- A. I don't have that answer.
- Q. So you don't remember?
- A. No.
 - Q. My next question is: You testified that when
- 8 Mr. Bermingham first began working there as a
- 9 consultant, your recollection was that the back
- 10 pressure on the ovens was in the range of five to
- 11 six, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And then you provided some testimony about
- 14 | encountering problems once the back pressure got to
- 15 eight and higher, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Now, isn't it true, Mr. Gonzalez, that the
- 18 raising of this back pressure during the time
- 19 Mr. Bermingham was there was a slow and gradual
- 20 process?
- 21 A. Yes, it was slow.
- 22 Q. Incremental increases, correct?
- 23 A. Yes. I think -- up by one I think they kept on
- 24 coming up.
- 25 Q. Sometimes even by a half a point, correct?

1 MR. PIAGGIONE: Objection, your Honor. 2 He's now testifying about a half. 3 THE COURT: No. I'll permit that. 4 Overruled. 5 You may answer. THE WITNESS: I don't remember -- I don't 6 7 remember. I know by one. I remember ones. 8 BY MR. LINSIN: 9 I'm sorry. Q. 10 I remember one. Α. 11 All right. But the idea was to elevate the Q. 12 back pressure a certain amount, let the system 13 operate for a period of time, sometimes a number of 14 months, and evaluate what that pressure change has 15 done, correct? 16 A. Correct. 17 Q. And those changes would be discussed in the 18 stack meetings, correct? 19 Α. Yes. 20 The effects of the raising would be debated and Q. 21 potential additional steps discussed as to how to 22 handle any problems that developed, correct? 23 Yes. Α. 24 And your testimony was that once the back

pressure got to eight or higher, you and the other

general foremen out on the batteries realized that
you were having trouble -- that it was harder -I'm sorry -- harder to seal the ovens for these
daily air inspections, correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. And you raised this with the plant supervisor, and if I heard your testimony correctly, his response to you was you -- he told you you needed to do a better job of sealing the oven doors and the oven lids, correct?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. He didn't tell you, "Well, just lower the back pressure for the test and then raise it back up again once the inspector leaves," correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Were you aware that one of the other general foremen on the battery was chastised by Mr. Priamo because of his practice of lowering the back pressure --
 - A. No.
- Q. I'm sorry. Could I finish the question, please.
- 23 A. I'm sorry.
- Q. Were you aware that one of the other general foremen on the battery was chastised by Mr. Priamo

because he was caught lowering the back pressure for a 303 inspection?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

- Q. You talked to Mr. Dolan a lot. You testified about that, correct?
- A. I talked to him.
- Q. You talked to him a lot about this issue of lowering the back pressure, correct?
- A. We talked about lowering back pressure.
- Q. And your testimony is that Mr. Dolan never told you that Mr. Priamo had chastised him for failing to do his job?
 - A. No. Mr. Dolan never told me.
- Q. Mr. Dolan ever told you that Mr. Priamo even took his chess set away because he didn't think he was doing his job correctly?
- 17 | A. No.
 - Q. Now, you testified as you began your testimony that Mr. Bermingham had been brought in by the company because the company was having stack issues, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And when you say "stack issues," are you talking about the waste heat stack?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. That's a very tall chimney that's just down to the east of the batteries there, correct?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And do you know what those stack issues were?
- A. They would smoke. Stack would smoke.
- Q. And isn't it true, Mr. Gonzalez, that the issues, the problems that the company was having with the waste heat stack were emissions that didn't raise to the level of any violations of a Clean Air Act regulation, but emissions that the company was concerned about and wanted to control before it got to that point?

MR. PIAGGIONE: Objection, your Honor.

It's a pretty complex -- compound question.

THE COURT: Do you understand it?

THE WITNESS: Repeat it. Just repeat it one more time.

MR. LINSIN: Sure. Sure. I'm happy to.
BY MR. LINSIN:

Q. Isn't it true that the stack issues, which is what prompted Mr. Bermingham to be brought in, were emissions issues out of the waste heat stack that hadn't gotten to the level of a regulatory violation, but the company knew it wanted to get control of this problem, correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

7

- Q. The decision by Mr. Priamo to lower the back pressure after Mr. Bermingham no longer worked there as a consultant, was that a decision he
- 5 made -- that was made -- I'm sorry -- after
- 6 Mr. Bermingham departed?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you know what year that was?
- 9 A. You said don't guess.
- 10 Q. If the answer is, "I don't know," please just tell us that.
- 12 A. I don't know. I don't know.
- 13 Q. All right. But the lowering occurred after
- 14 Mr. Bermingham left, am I correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 \parallel Q. Is that your recollection? Thank you.
- 17 Are you familiar with the use of jumper pipes on the battery?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Would you describe, please, to the members of the jury what a jumper pipe is?
- A. A jumper pipe is used when the oven is being charged. It's called -- it's called an assist, and what it does, it helps draw the gas to the next oven over so that you don't get emissions from the

charging -- through the charging holes. As the leveling bar travels through the oven, there's a restrict -- it becomes a restriction for the gas to flow, so you have to have somewhere else for the gas to go. This jumper pipe would be put from one oven -- from the oven you were charging to the next oven over so it would help draw -- instead of just having draw up the stand pipe and into the gooseneck, you would have draw to your next oven and up. It was an assist. It would help.

- Q. What it would help would be to reduce the release of gas from the ovens, correct?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And to place it into the next oven that would be charged in the sequence, correct?
- A. Right. The next oven that it would be put into was charged hours ago.
 - Q. And those -- those -- would you describe just physically, these jumper pipes, what do they look like?
 - A. Just think of a big 12-inch pipe -- 12, 18-inch pipe in an U shape. You got a big U-shape pipe.
 - Q. And they have to be physically manipulated --
- A. No. There is a rail. There is a rail.
- Q. Back off the mike a little bit, please.

- A. I'm sorry. There is a rail and the pipes are pushed up and down by hand.
- Q. All right. And this is one of the duties of the workers on the battery, correct?
- A. Yes; of the charge car operator.
- Q. And as a matter of fact, there's a specific procedure that the company has put out for charging ovens and all the steps that need to be done to properly charge an oven, correct?
- A. Yes, there is.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. And the use of these jumper pipes is specifically discussed in there, correct?
- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And you're aware, aren't you, that there were several occasions where some of these battery workers were found not using those jumper pipes?
- A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Correct?
- And they were disciplined for that, correct?
- 20 A. Yes, they were.
- Q. And in a couple of instances, were even released, correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, you testified that you worked in the by-products area as an operator, correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

15

16

17

18

- Q. And how long did you work in by-products as an operator?
 - A. A year.
 - Q. And how many -- what shift were you on when you were there?
 - A. I worked the swing shift.
 - Q. Meaning what?
- A. One week we work first, another week we work second, another week we work third; a rotating shift.
- Q. Rotating through each of the three shifts in a 24-hour day, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
 - Q. And I believe you responded to one question about the frequency of the release of this pressure relief valve and your testimony was that that valve released every 20 minutes, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. There were a number of things that influenced the operation of that valve, weren't there?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And things that caused that valve to release sometimes more frequently, sometimes less frequently, correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

- Q. The -- the season had an influence, whether it was real hot or real cold had an influence on the frequency of operation of that valve?
- A. No.
 - Q. That's not true?
- A. I don't think so.
 - Q. Okay. The type of coke that was being produced had an influence on the level of coke oven gas produced and the operation of that valve, didn't it?
- A. Yes.
- Q. The setting, the release point setting for the valve itself had an influence on how often the valve would release, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And the other demands throughout the coke oven gas system for coke oven gas would also have an influence on how often that valve would release, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So it's not really true that that valve released every 20 minutes, is it?
- 24 A. Yes, it is true.
- 25 Q. Maybe I misunderstood your testimony. I

thought you just testified that there were a number of things that influenced the frequency?

- A. Yes. There's different things that will make it -- a charge -- charging an oven will make it open because the pressure in the line builds past the set point. And if you're charging an oven and that -- that pressure builds above the set point, the valve will open.
- Q. Yes?

- A. There's one.
- 11 Q. The reversal in the ovens --
- 12 A. Reversals --
 - Q. -- sometimes causes an -- it does an increase --
 - A. The reversals in the ovens as the -- as the battery reverses, it stops taking gas, causing pressure to build into the line. As that pressure builds into the line, the bleeder opens because it goes over the set point.
 - Q. And is it your testimony that the set point was always below that pressure?
 - MR. PIAGGIONE: Objection, your Honor. I don't know what reference to "that pressure" is.
 - MR. LINSIN: The built-up pressure from a reversal. I'm sorry if my question wasn't clear.

Is it your testimony, Mr. Gonzalez, that the set point for the pressure relief valve was always below the peak pressure during a reversal?

THE WITNESS: The set point was set at different levels.

BY MR. LINSIN:

Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- A. I'm -- just set at different levels.
- Q. It was?
- A. Yes. Whether it was set higher so that it wouldn't open, is that possible? Sure, it was possible.
- Q. My question wasn't -- not whether it was possible.
- 15 A. Okay.
- Q. My question is: Is it your testimony that that set point was never set that high?
- 18 A. It may have been set that high.
- 19 Q. All right. Did you ever change the set point 20 for the pressure relief valve?
- 21 A. I knew how.
- Q. I'll ask my question again. Did you ever set or change the set point for the pressure relief valve?
- 25 A. Yes.

Q. Why?

1

2

4

5

6

7

- A. I was told to.
- 3 Q. By whom?
 - A. By the -- by the -- the by-products supervisor.
 - Q. And do you know why he told you to change it?
 - A. Production.
 - Q. What does that mean?
 - A. The higher the production.
- 9 Q. Let me see if I understand. Is it correct that
 10 higher production resulted in higher gas pressure
- 11 within the line, is that correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And is it also then your testimony that you were instructed by the by-products foreman that when production was higher, you raised the set point of the PRV, is that correct?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. That's not correct?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. Did the BP foreman tell you to lower the back
 pressure -- I'm sorry -- lower the set point to the
 PRV?
- A. I was told -- I know I've been told to lower it or raise it. I just did whatever I was told to do.
- 25 Q. Okay.

- A. And the circumstance why they did it for that one particular day, I don't know.
- Q. All right. But you testified a moment ago that you were told to change it because of high production.
- A. High production.
- Q. Is that correct? Do you have a memory of that?
- A. No.

- Q. You offered some testimony, Mr. Gonzalez, about the quench towers. And you testified that it was your recollection that quench tower number two, the east quench tower further away from the river, was used most often, correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And you also testified that you had received instructions -- you and the other battery foremen had received instructions that quench tower number one, the quench tower closer to the river, the west quench tower, was only to be used one or two times per shift, is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And as a matter of fact, quench tower number
 one itself was down and out of commission for a
 couple of years during the 2005, 2009 time period,
 correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

- Q. And they had put rail stops on the rail tracks to prevent any of the hot cars from going into that tower, correct?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And you testified that during the winter months there was a need to use quench tower number one in order to prevent the pipes from freezing, correct?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. But there was also an automatic quench system in quench tower number one so that they could flush those lines without the need for a hot car to go in there, correct?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- Q. And that was used with some regularity, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - MR. LINSIN: I have no further questions.

 Thank you, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Linsin. Thank you.

 Mr. Personius.
- 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PERSONIUS:
- 23 Q. Good morning, Mr. Gonzales.
- A. Good morning.
- 25 Q. Could -- Lauren, could we please have -- this

is in evidence -- Government Exhibit 29 put on the screen.

Mr. Gonzalez, at the start of your testimony, you were asked about this exhibit. Do you recall that?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And this is the organizational chart for Tonawanda Coke as of March of 2009, is that correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And up at the top -- at the very top below the heading, it says "president" with a box around it, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And then there's a line with an arrow that drops down from there to plant superintendent?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- Q. And then there is another line below that with an arrow that goes to assistant plant superintendent?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And then there's another line with an arrow after that where it spreads -- there is a line that spreads in either direction with a number of different supervisors, true?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. And in one of those that you were asked to identify on your direct examination was the box for the by-products foremen.
- A. Yes.
- Q. And would you do your finger with an arrow, please, for where that box is? Okay. Thank you.

Now, am I correct in understanding that the way this organizational chart works is that the plant superintendent would report to the president?

- A. The plant superintendent -- yes.
- Q. And that the assistant plant superintendent would report to the plant superintendent?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And those supervisors below that, such as the by-products foremen, would be reporting to the assistant plant superintendent?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. That's the way the chain of command is at Tonawanda Coke?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Now, you were also asked about the box for the environmental manager.
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And for the jury's benefit, please, would you

put an arrow there? Have you done this before?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. You're good at it. You're very good.

And if I'm understanding this chart correctly, the environmental manager then reports to the president?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And these who report to the environmental manager, if I'm reading this correctly, would be the laboratory supervisor?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And then the laboratory technician?
- 13 A. Yes.
 - Q. Have I read this correctly?
- 15 A. Yes, you have.
- Q. Okay. You can take that down, Lauren. Thank you.

You were asked on your direct testimony,

Mr. Gonzalez, about -- I think about quench

stations and quench towers. Do you remember that?

A. Yes.

- Q. All right. And is it true that, at least in your mind, that at Tonawanda Coke quench station and quench tower were used interchangeably?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. It's like some say potato, some say potato?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Q. Okay. Thank you.

I noticed -- do you remember you testified in the grand jury in this case?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And do you remember that -- you may not remember the exact date, but it was back in early 2010?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And did you have a chance to review that grand jury testimony before you came in here to testify?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. When did you review that testimony?
- 17 A. Just outside the door.
- 18 Q. So just before you came in here?
- 19 A. Right.
- Q. Okay. And did you have a chance to get through all the testimony?
- 22 A. Not all of it.
- Q. No? How much time were you given to review your testimony?
- 25 A. Twenty minutes, half hour.

- Q. Okay. And when did you first find out you were going to be a witness in this case?
- A. My lawyer was subpoenaed.

MR. PIAGGIONE: Objection, your Honor.

This is now getting irrelevant.

THE COURT: No, absolutely not. This can be considered for purposes of the jury's viewing the credibility of this witness and his knowledge.

Overruled.

MR. PIAGGIONE: Okay. Thank you, your Honor.

THE WITNESS: In -- last month we met with the -- it is the government here?

- BY MR. PERSONIUS:
- 15 Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

- A. And that's when they told me we were -- that they were subpoenaing me for trial.
- 18 Q. Sometime in February?
 - A. Sometime in February.
- Q. Would it be fair to say it was about a month ago?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you have a chance to review your grand jury testimony at that time?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And did you review it then?
- A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. Did you review it all?
- A. Yes. I read through it all.
- Q. So you've had two opportunities to review your grand jury testimony?
- 7 | A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

8

20

- Q. The occasion back in February.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And you reviewed it completely?
- 11 A. There, I did.
- Q. All right. And then today you had a chance to get through part of it?
- 14 A. Just part of it.
- Q. Based on those two reviews, one complete and one not complete, did you satisfy yourself that what appeared in that transcript was an accurate reflection of what you testified to in the grand jury?
 - A. I think things start coming back to you later as you start reading them.
- Q. Okay. But that has to do -- it did help refresh your recollection?
- 24 A. Right.
- 25 Q. Or your memory?

A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

- Q. You're talking here about events that --
- A. Happened years ago.
- Q. -- years ago. You've been asked questions about things that go back 15 years, right?
- A. Which is tough to remember.
- Q. Sure it is. And you don't mean to suggest to the jury that you've got a perfect memory of what happened 15 years ago, right?
- 10 A. Right.
 - Q. Okay. And reviewing your testimony at least gave you the benefit of seeing what you had testified about under oath about three years ago on some of these subjects, right?
 - A. Right.
- Q. And in reviewing that transcript, did you detect anything in the transcript that did not accurately reflect what you had testified to back in early 2010?
- A. There was only one thing that I wasn't sure
 what I had said in the grand jury testimony was
 about the quench stations.
- 23 Q. About the quench stations?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. What was that --

A. I wasn't sure -- I wasn't sure -- and back then when they asked the question in the grand jury about the quench stations, and of course it was -- it was very nervous -- very nervous going into the grand jury. In fact, I couldn't even remember names.

They asked about the quench stations and the only thing I could recall about the quench stations were -- were we used the number two and then one to two times per shift, I think is what I had said. I think I also had said on there that when I first started and I was a quench car operator that we had used -- we used it regularly. I think it says -- said it in there.

- Q. And we'll get to that in just a minute. But you were asked a number of questions about the use of quench tower number one and quench tower number two, correct?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And I'm not -- and I'd like to be clear. What was it about your testimony in the grand jury from about three years ago that after you reviewed it you didn't think was entirely accurate?
- A. Well, I think it was fairly accurate --
- Q. Okay.

- A. -- testimony that I gave to the grand jury.
- Q. Okay. Well, you've told us here today that there was some point in time where -- if I use the term "quench tower number one or the west tower," you know which one I'm referring to?
- A. Yes.

- Q. The one by the river?
- A. By the river.
- Q. That that was used up to five times a shift?
- A. That was in the cold months.
 - Q. All right. Did you testify to that in the grand jury?
 - A. I testified in the grand jury -- I think if you look back on it, it says when I first became an operator that we alternated; meaning one in one tower, one in another tower.
 - Q. Why don't we do this. If we can, could we -maybe this will assist you and assist the jury in
 understanding your testimony. Could -- Lauren,
 could we please -- this is for identification.

 Could we please have Government Exhibit 3524.01 put
 on the screen for Mr. Gonzalez and counsel and the
 Court.

Do you see the -- the first page of this exhibit, it has a yellow sticker that says 3524.01

Government Exhibit?

A. Yes.

- Q. And do you recognize this to be the first page of your grand jury testimony provided on February 4 of 2010?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And you were asked during that grand jury appearance, as I think you've acknowledged, a number of questions about the use of these two quench towers, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
 - Q. Before you gave any testimony, you were sworn in to tell the truth, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. All right. And if we could, please, Lauren, go to page 41. This isn't working because of how it's set up. I need the page that has page 41 of the grand jury transcript, Lauren. I apologize. Thank you. Go to the next page, please, Lauren.

All right. Now, the page that's now on the screen, so that we've got this identified for the record, is page 33 of this exhibit.

Do you see that down in the lower right?

- A. 0033.
- Q. Yes. You see that?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q. That's just so we have it noted for the record.

Now, let's go to line 17 if we can, please.

And you were asked: "How did the quenches rotate between those two towers? How did you decide which quench tower to take it to?"

Do you see that question?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And your answer was: "Usually, for the most part, number two quench station is the quench station. They use it the most."

And then the question on line 21 was: "What is?"

And then on line 22 you said: "The east one, we said."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And so your initial testimony on this in the grand jury was that the one that was used most would have been number two or the east tower, right?
- A. Yes.
- 23 Q. That would be the one away from the river?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. And Lauren, would you go to the next

1 page, please. And highlight that part there, 2 please, Lauren. 3 All right. Now, we're on the next page of this 4 exhibit, and on line 15 you were asked: "How often 5 are you using the west side quench tower?" And then your answer was: "In an eight-hour 6 7 period?" 8 And then the next question was: "Right." 9 And then on line 18, your answer was: "Two 10 times. One or twice, maybe." Is that correct? 11 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. All right. And again, we're talking about the 14 west or number one quench tower, right? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Would you go to the next page, please, Lauren. 17 Go back to the other page. I apologize. 18 We're on page 0034 of this exhibit, 19 Mr. Gonzalez? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. And Lauren, that bottom part there. 22 Now, on this page on line 13, the question was: 23 "Okay. When it was operational, let's talk about 24 the east quench tower. How often is that being

used?"

And your answer was: "Most of the shift." Right?

A. Yes.

- Q. "Most of the operators used that" -- and I think we may have to go to the next page, Lauren.
- -- "tower," right? You say at the top of page 35?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And then Lauren, could we, please -- I think we probably need to go two more pages forward. Okay. We're on page 0037 of this exhibit.
- A. Yes.
- Q. And Lauren, that part, please.

Now, on line 2, you were asked: "Just so I've got your testimony clear on the quench tower, during the time you were a general foreman working out there, your testimony is you would be using the east quench tower about six times a shift the majority of the time, but you'd also be using the quench tower one or two times per shift."

And your answer was: "Yes."

- A. Yes.
- Q. You can take that down, Lauren.
- Can we agree, Mr. Gonzalez, that when you

testified in the grand jury, the most that you said that quench tower number one, the west tower, was used was once or twice a shift?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

- Q. Not five times a shift, right?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And when was it that you came up with the number five for the frequency of the use of that tower during a shift?
- A. As I go back and start remembering things.
 - Q. Okay. So your memory is better today --
- 12 A. Then from that day, yes.
 - Q. -- then it was three years ago.
 - A. Well, that -- as you get -- sit back and start thinking, you start recalling.
 - Q. You were asked questions about this in the grand jury in February of 2010 that went on for three or four pages of your testimony, weren't you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And the most times you ever said that the west tower was used in a shift was once or twice, correct?
 - MR. PIAGGIONE: I'm going to object, your Honor. Actually, that's not what it says in the transcript. It's only part of it.

1 THE COURT: The witness can answer what he 2 said and then if you need to clarify it, you may. 3 BY MR. PERSONIUS: 4 Q. Do you agree? 5 Can you ask the question again? 6 Q. Sure. The most times you testified back 7 in February of 2010 that the west tower or number 8 one was used in a shift was once or twice, true? 9 Α. No. 10 Oh, you didn't? 11 I believe in -- from when I read this, it says 12 somewhere in there when I first became an operator 13 about using it more than one time a shift. Or one 14 or two times a shift. 15 And if you found the -- if you have the -- the 16 testimony, that would help you find that? 17 Yes. Α. MR. PERSONIUS: Your Honor, I don't know 18 19 if you want to do this on a break or how. 20 happy to have Mr. Gonzalez review his --21 MR. PIAGGIONE: I can provide the 22 reference, your Honor, if that would speed things

MR. PERSONIUS: Sure.

23

24

25

up.

MR. PIAGGIONE: Just go to page 41, point

1 0041. If you look at -- starting at line 15. 2 MR. PERSONIUS: Which line, Rocky, would 3 you like to go to? 4 MR. PIAGGIONE: Starting at line 15. 5 MR. PERSONIUS: Line 15? Okay. Let's -- in the interest of 6 7 completeness, we're on what page, Rocky? 8 MR. PIAGGIONE: 9 MR. PERSONIUS: 041? 10 BY MR. PERSONIUS: 11 Q. Can we start, Lauren, please, on line 6 where 12 the question starts. Thank you. Okay. 13 Starting on line 6, the question was: "How 14 long? You mentioned you used the west quench tower 15 one or two times per shift. If you could think 16 back and specifically with your different positions 17 you had -- you were a quench car operator as well. 18 How far back did that go? I mean, since you've 19 been there for, what, 17 years. Ever been there?" 20 That's the question? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Okay. "I can remember way back when I was a 23 quench tower operator using that quench station, 24 because something was wrong with the other quench

station because the one was broken down, and I also

remember when I was a quench car operator, we'd alternate. Two would go down and then come back the other way and did a couple more on the west end. It's hard to remember exactly when."

Is that what your testimony was?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

Q. Then the next question was: "Did you say you had a distinct memory of not using -- it's always been used in the back in some way or another?"

And your answer was: "We use it -- I remember a while back, we were told, 'Don't use this. Can't use it again,' and they put rail stops inside there."

Is that right?

- A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Now, this period of time when the quench towers were alternated, when was that?
- 18 A. When I was a hot car operator.
 - Q. What was that?
 - A. '96. '96.
 - Q. 1996? And how long did that last?
- 22 A. I don't recall.
- 23 Q. Did it end before 2000?
- 24 A. I don't recall.
- 25 Q. You don't remember how long you worked in that

position?

1

2

- A. Oh, in the position itself?
- 3 | Q. Yes.
 - A. As a quench car operator, couple months.
- Q. A couple of months. So a couple of months back in the 1990s, you remember that the -- these towers
- 7 were alternated?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And otherwise your testimony was that it was no more than twice a shift that, in your experience,
- 11 that quench tower number one was used, right?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, you worked in the -- or you do work in the battery area, correct?
- 15 | A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And do you remember testifying in the grand
 jury that in the battery area there's a respirator
 that you have to use?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And could you describe the type of respirator that you use in the battery area?
- 22 A. Cartridge.
- 23 Q. Okay. Is it like a half face mask?
- 24 A. Half face cartridge.
- Q. Okay. What kind of cartridges are in there?

- A. They're just cartridges.
- Q. Do you know what the purpose of the cartridges
- 3 is?

1

2

4

- A. Filter the particulates out.
- 5 Q. Particulate matter, right?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Okay. And is there a rule that when you're in
- 8 the battery area, you have to wear one of those
- 9 respirators?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And have you ever seen Mr. Kamholz in the
- 12 battery area?
- 13 A. Yes, I have.
- 14 \ Q. And when he's in the battery area, is he too
- 15 required to wear this respirator?
- 16 A. Yes, he is.
- 17 Q. And you've seen him wear it?
- 18 A. Yes, I have.
- 19 Q. Have you seen him, when he's not actually
- 20 wearing it, have it hanging around his neck hanging
- 21 down?
- 22 A. I don't recall.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- 24 MR. PERSONIUS: Can I have a minute,
- 25 please, Judge?

1 THE COURT: Sure. 2 MR. PERSONIUS: Your Honor, I'm finished 3 with our cross. 4 Thank you, Mr. Gonzalez. 5 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 6 MR. PIAGGIONE: I only have a couple of 7 questions, your Honor. I don't know if you want to 8 take a break first. 9 THE COURT: No. 10 MR. PIAGGIONE: Okay. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. 12 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PIAGGIONE: 13 Mr. Gonzalez, when you said two cars would go 14 to the west quench tower per shift, how many 15 ovens -- was it eight ovens you said in that 16 testimony? 17 In that testimony if I said eight ovens, it's 18 probably we were at eight ovens during the shift. 19 Yes. 20 Q. All right. So two of those -- one to two of 21 those would go to the west tower? 22 Α. Yes.

- Q. How many shifts were there in a day?
- 24 A. Three shifts.

23

25

Q. So that would be a total of 24 ovens?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And that means how many cars would go to the west tower?
- A. Six in a 24-hour period.

MR. PIAGGIONE: No further questions, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Linsin.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LINSIN:

- Q. Mr. Gonzalez, the number of ovens pushed in any one shift changed over time, didn't it?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. If it was low production, it would be fewer; high production, more ovens pushed, correct?
- 14 | A. Yes.
 - Q. And yet your testimony is that the number of times this hot car went down to the west -- the west quench tower was one to two times per shift, correct?
 - A. Yes.

MR. LINSIN: No further questions.

MR. PERSONIUS: Just one question, Judge.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PERSONIUS:

Q. When Mr. Piaggione asked you about the total number of times that the west tower would be used over the three shifts -- in other words, in a

- day -- what was the reason you said it would be six rather than three to six?
- A. He asked how many ovens we were pushing in a day. And you're talking eight ovens a day, 24 ovens -- or I mean, you're talking eight ovens a shift, 24 ovens.
 - Q. But your testimony has been that it was one or two times a shift, right?
- 9 A. One shift -- one shift is an eight-hour period,
 10 pushing eight ovens.
- Q. So there are three shifts in a day. Three times eight is 24.
 - A. Right.

7

8

13

- 14 | Q. Right?
- 15 A. Right. 24.
- Q. Your testimony was that the west tower would be used one or two times a shift.
- 18 A. Per shift.
 - Q. Right?
- 20 A. Right.
- Q. You multiply one or two times three, it's three to six. It's not six.
- 23 A. You're right. Three to six.
- 24 \ Q. Three to six to be accurate.
- 25 A. Three to six. One to two would be three to

1 six. 2 MR. PERSONIUS: Nothing further, Judge. 3 Thank you. 4 MR. PIAGGIONE: I have nothing further. 5 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Gonzalez, 6 that's it. You're excused. Thank you. 7 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 8 THE COURT: You're welcome. 9 All right. Let's take 15. We'll start again 10 at 11:30. The government will have its next 11 witness ready, please. 12 Ladies and gentlemen, we want you all to come 13 back. So -- but take your break now, okay. 14 (Jury excused from the courtroom.) 15 THE COURT: Before you break, we're going 16 to be down on March 22nd. That would be a Friday. 17 Okay. So just make sure that that works with 18 everybody. 19 MR. MANGO: Yes, your Honor. 20 MR. LINSIN: Thank you, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Okay. We will let the jury 22 know. 23 (Short recess was taken.) 24 (Jury seated.) 25 THE COURT: Well, it looks like you're

almost over those morning blahs so we're going to start. All right? Thanks for coming back. Have a seat. We're back on in the case of United States versus Tonawanda Coke Corporation and Mark Kamholz, the defendants. The jury's back. Roll call waived. The attorneys and parties are present.

Mr. Mango, it looks like you're ready for some reason.

MR. MANGO: I'm on the edge of my seat, your Honor. Yes. The government would call James Cratsley.

THE COURT: Okay. Is there a James Cratsley here?

MR. MANGO: There is.

THE COURT: Come on up, please. If you would approach the witness box and I'll tell you when to stop. All right. Right there. Okay. And then turn around towards the jury, please.

J A M E S C R A T S L E Y, having been duly sworn as a witness, testified as follows:

THE COURT: Okay. Good morning, sir. I have a couple of instructions for you, the same ones I give to all the witnesses. And it's important that you realize that you're here to testify for the benefit of the ladies and gentlemen

of the jury. Okay. So I'll ask you to direct your responses to them, look in that direction if you can. I know there will be some going back and forth with the lawyers, but if you don't understand a question, don't answer the question. Just ask the lawyer or if I'm asking you questions, by chance, just say, "Judge, I don't understand it. Repeat it." We'll do that for you. Be as succinct with your answers as you can. Don't volunteer information. That's what complicates matters. If you can answer a question with a yes or no, please try to do that.

And sometimes there may be an objection to a question. Wait until I rule on the objection, and then I will give you instructions; complete an answer, or I'll tell you — the attorneys to ask another question or some instruction like that.

Okay?

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: And we need to know how you're going to sound on the microphone system. You don't have to be right on top of it but you have to speak in a conversational tone right at the microphone.

So state your full name, spell your last name for us. Okay.

1 THE WITNESS: James Cratsley. 2 C-R-A-T-S-L-E-Y. 3 THE COURT: All right. Sounds good. 4 Thank you. 5 Mr. Mango, you're on. 6 MR. MANGO: Thank you, your Honor. 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MANGO: 8 Mr. Cratsley, how are you doing this morning? Q. 9 Α. I'm nervous. 10 All right. Nervous. Do you want to be here? Q. 11 Α. Not really. 12 Q. All right. How you do you feel about being 13 here? 14 A. Not good. 15 Q. All right. Why don't you tell the jury -- I 16 appreciate you being here, though. 17 Why don't you tell the jury how or if you're 18 currently employed? 19 No, I'm retired. Α. 20 And have you ever been employed at the Q. 21 Tonawanda Coke Corporation? 22 A. Yes. 23 What period of time were you employed at that 24 location? 25 From 1990 to 2012.

- Q. What positions -- if you can tell the jury, what positions did you work at the Tonawanda Coke Corporation?
 - A. I worked on the ovens. I ran all the machinery up there. I worked in the coke handling, loading trucks, and then I worked in the by-products department.
 - Q. Okay. Do you recall the time periods that you worked in the by-products department?
- 10 A. I actually worked there twice. Once from 11 '94 to '98 and then from 2005 until 2009.
- 12 Q. Okay. And then --
- 13 A. Excuse me.
 - Q. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 15 A. I stand corrected. Till 2012.
- 16 0. 2012?
- 17 A. 2012.
- Q. And were you an operator during that time period in by-products?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Why did you leave the Tonawanda Coke
 Corporation?
- 23 A. I quit to retire.
- MR. MANGO: Your Honor, if we could pull up Government Exhibit 15.02.097 which is in

evidence.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

12

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay.

BY MR. MANGO:

- Q. Mr. Cratsley, do you see what we have on our screen here?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Can you tell the jury what -- what this is?
- 9 A. That's the bleeder.
 - Q. Is that what you called it?
- 11 A. It's a bleeder or pressure relief valve.
 - Q. All right. What did you call it?
- 13 A. I called it the bleeder.
- Q. All right. Are you familiar with the operation of this bleeder?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. As part of your employment as a by-products operator, did you have any responsibilities regarding the operation of this bleeder?
 - A. To monitor it and set -- set the set point as directed by a foreman.
 - Q. All right. We'll talk about that in a minute.

 During the period of 2005 to 2009, was the

 bleeder that you see on the screen operational in
 this location?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

6

- Q. And did you -- that time period I just gave you, 2005 to 2009, did you work in the by-products
- 4 department that whole time period?
- 5 | A. Yes.
 - Q. And were you an operator that whole time period?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. During that time period, what was the purpose of this bleeder?
- 11 A. To release gas pressure in the line when it became too much.
- Q. All right. How would it -- can you explain for the jury just how it would do that?
- 15 A. I don't understand what you're --
- Q. Okay. You meant it was designed to relieve pressure in the line?
- 18 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. How would it relieve pressure in the line? How would it work?
- 21 \blacksquare A. It would work on charges and reversals.
- Q. Okay. We'll get there. So at some point of -this would release, you're saying?
- 24 A. It would release only on charges and reversals.
- Q. When it would release, what would come out of

the bleeder?

1

2

6

7

- A. Coke oven gas.
- 3 Q. Now, you've mentioned charges and reversals.
- 4 That's when it would release?
- 5 A. Correct.
 - Q. Do you know in 2009 what the -- how often reversals happened?
- 8 A. Roughly every 30 minutes.
 - Q. Okay. So in your experience, every 30 minutes
- 10 this bleeder would open up?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. How long were the releases from the bleeder
- every 30 minutes?
- 14 A. Ten to 15 seconds.
- Q. During the period -- are you familiar with the
- 16 term "cogeneration," Mr. Cratsley?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. During the periods of cogeneration, would the
- 19 bleeder still release during reversals?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Can you tell the jury what the typical set
- 22 point for the bleeder was?
- 23 A. Between 80 and 100.
- 24 \parallel 0. Who decided where the bleeder would be set at?
- 25 A. The foreman.

Q. Which foreman?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- A. Normally, the by-products foreman. He would get directions and then we would raise it.
- Q. Okay. So there is a by-products foreman. Is that the boss of all the by-products operators?
- A. Yes. Correct.
- Q. Are you familiar with the process of how the set point for this bleeder was either raised or lowered?
- A. Yes.
- Q. How often would the -- the adjustment to this bleeder, how often did an adjustment get made?
- 13 A. Just -- it's very rare. Only when they needed it.
- Q. If the change was made, was it recorded anywhere?
- 17 A. Yes; in our log book.
- Q. Is that called the by-products operators' log book?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- Q. How would -- if you can tell the jury. We talked about it. Just physically, how would you actually change the release point for this bleeder?
- A. There's a -- a chart recorder and there's a little button up there that you have to turn to set

and there is a red bar that comes down. When you turn it, it goes to the set point that you were told to set it at.

- Q. Okay. Does that -- where you set the set point, does that actually get recorded on the circular chart?
- A. No.

- Q. Okay. Is there anything else that's happening that does get recorded on the circular chart?
- A. The pressure that's in the line.
- Q. Okay. And can you tell the jury what would happen if the pressure in the line, as being recorded on that chart, gets above the set point?
- A. It would bleed.
- Q. And what would happen, if you can tell the jury, if the -- if the pressure in the line went below that set point?
- A. Nothing.
 - Q. It wouldn't bleed?
- 20 A. It wouldn't bleed, no.
 - MR. MANGO: All right. Your Honor, I'd like to show -- which is in evidence -- Government Exhibit 87. If we can pull that up, please.
 - Okay. I'm showing you Government Exhibit 87,
 Mr. Cratsley, which is a by-products log book which

contains -- includes the period of March 3rd and March 4th of 2009. Okay?

If we can go to page 100 of this document, please, Lauren. If we can just zoom in on the whole day.

Mr. Cratsley, can you tell the jury what entry -- what day this entry is for?

A. The 3rd of March, '09.

- Q. Okay. And on the four-to-midnight shift, the bottom half of this page, at the bottom do you see any reference to the bleeder?
- A. "Raise bleeder to 100, looking good."
 - Q. Okay. Now, if we can go to the next page, please. This is an entry for 3/4/09. I'd like you to review the whole entry and then just look at me when you're ready. Okay. And I'd like to go to the next page. And if we can just focus in on --okay. Please, again, review just the top half and then look at me when you're ready.

All right. Mr. Cratsley, is there any entry for March 4th of 2009 relating to the bleeder?

A. No.

Q. Based on your review of this log book, what does the log book indicate the bleeder was set at for March 3rd of 2009?

- One hundred. 1 Α. 2 Q. And based on your experience, what do you 3 believe the bleeder was set at on March 4th 4 of 2009? 5 A. One hundred. 6 MR. MANGO: Your Honor, if I can have a 7 moment. 8 THE COURT: Sure. 9 MR. MANGO: Confirm that this is not in 10 evidence. No, it is not. Your Honor, I'd like to 11 show the witness Government Exhibit 21.61 for 12 identification purposes. 13 THE COURT: Is there any objection to its 14 being received? 15 MR. LINSIN: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Personius? 16 17 MR. PERSONIUS: No objection, Judge. 18 Thank you. Sorry. 19 THE COURT: 21.61 received. No objection. 20 Do you want it published? 21 MR. MANGO: Yes, please, your Honor. 22 (Government Exhibit 21.61 was received 23 into evidence.)
 - BY MR. MANGO:

25

Q. If we can focus in on this section, please,

just the circular chart portion.

Mr. Cratsley, do you see this on your screen here?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. Okay. Let's wait till it zooms in.

 Can you tell the jury what this item is we see on our screen?
- A. That's a recording chart of the bleeder.
- Q. All right. And do you see a date for this bleeder chart?
- 11 A. March 4th, '09.
- 12 Q. Okay. So this would be the chart for
- 13 March 4th?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And you just testified that you believe the bleeder was set to 100 on March 4th?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. I'd like to zoom in, if we could, on the 2:00 a.m. to 3:00 a.m.
- 20 All right. Between 2:00 a.m. and 3:00 a.m.,
 21 can you explain for the jury what your
 22 understanding of this chart is?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Please do so.
- 25 A. At 2:00 a.m. there was a reversal. You can

- show by the spike. There is a straight line going up at 2:00 a.m. right here.
 - Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- A. And then 2:30 the same thing, there is another spike right here. And at 3:00 o'clock, there's the same thing right there. And that's a reversal.
- Q. Okay. Are those spikes above 100?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. What do you believe was happening to the bleeder during those spikes?
- A. To my knowledge, it was bleeding gas. Coke oven gas.
- MR. MANGO: Thank you, Mr. Cratsley.

 Nothing further, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Linsin. Thank you.
- MR. LINSIN: Thank you. May I proceed,
 your Honor?
- 18 THE COURT: Certainly.
- 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LINSIN:
- 20 Q. Good morning, Mr. Cratsley.
- 21 A. I'm fine. Thank you.
- Q. I don't believe we've met before. My name is
 Greg Linsin. I represent Tonawanda Coke.
- 24 A. Okay.
- 25 Q. I just have a couple of questions for you, sir.

The -- the pressure relief valve that you've been testifying about, do you understand mechanically how that valve operates?

A. Not really.

- Q. Do you know whether when the valve operates, it is instantly opened full bore, or do you know whether it opens slightly and then to a greater extent as the pressure increases?
- A. I don't know.
- Q. All right. Do you know if it's a butterfly valve?
 - A. I don't understand the question.
 - Q. All right. Fair enough. As a by-products operator, you had a number of jobs that you were responsible for every couple of hours as you toured the by-products department, correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
 - Q. And one of those jobs was to go around and to check the drip legs that came down off of the coke oven gas line, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And you would go around and open the valves on the bottom of those drip legs and drain any condensate that had collected in that drip leg, correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. And after you drained the condensate out of the line, you would close that valve, correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And in your experience -- in both tours of your experience in the by-products department, this is something when you were on duty you did every couple of hours, correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And then would you record that activity in the by-products log book that you had done that walk-through and done those functions?
- A. Not -- not the drip legs, no.
- Q. Not the drip legs. But in your time in the by-products department, it was standard operating procedure for those drip legs to be kept closed unless they were being drained, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And as a matter of fact, there was one time that you happened to notice that someone had left them open and you went around and closed it because you knew that's what they were supposed to be, correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
 - Q. The pressure relief valve that you talked about

and the vent that goes up above that coke oven gas line, do you have an estimate as to how high that vent is?

A. Not really.

- Q. All right. But that place where the coke oven gas line turns 90 degrees and heads down towards the boiler house, that's right adjacent to Broadway, correct?
- A. Correct.

MR. LINSIN: I have nothing further.

Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Linsin, thank you.

Mr. Personius. You may begin.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PERSONIUS:

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Cratsley.
- A. Morning.
- Q. My name is Rod Personius and I represent Mark Kamholz.

You began working at Tonawanda Coke in 1990?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. And in 1990 was that pressure relief valve that you identified in the photograph, was that in existence in 1990?
- A. To my knowledge, yes.
 - Q. Okay. And was it in the location in 1990 that

- it was when you retired in 2012?
- A. To my knowledge, yes.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. In the times when you were
- 4 in by-products, your position was to be an
- 5 operator?

1

2

- 6 A. Yes.
 - Q. And you would report to the by-products
- 8 foreman?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And the by-products foreman was in charge of
- 11 the entire by-products department?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. And did -- did you ever talk to -- well,
- 14 first of all, do you know who Mark Kamholz is?
- 15 A. Yes, I do.
- 16 | Q. You see -- Mark, he'll stand up. Do you see
- 17 him in the courtroom?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 | THE COURT: Well, the record will reflect
- 21 that the identification of Defendant Kamholz was
- 22 made.
- MR. PERSONIUS: Thank you, Judge.
- 24 BY MR. PERSONIUS:
- 25 Q. Did you ever talk to Mr. Kamholz at any time

- about this pressure relief valve?
- A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

- Q. I think you -- you've testified to this, but so it's clear. By looking -- you were referred to one of these circular charts?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And that circular chart is created on this recorder that is located in a green building below the pressure relief valve?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. And it -- it shows ink marks on it. From time to time there will be -- for lack of a better term -- what might be a spike?
 - A. A spike, yes.
- Q. Okay. And just by looking at that -- that circular chart alone, that won't tell you what the pressure was set at at that time, right?
 - A. No.
- Q. And Mr. Mango showed you a chart and he had you look at the by-products log book, and remember, you looked at the chart between two and three in the morning?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And you saw three -- again, for lack of a better term -- three spikes?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

- Q. And based on what you saw in the log book, you concluded that that would show three times that there would have been, for some period of time, a
- 5 release of this valve?
- 6 A. Yes.
 - Q. Is that true?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. In your experience, do you know if every
 10 time that set point for the pressure relief valve
 11 was changed, if that was recorded in that log book?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Your experience was every single one was?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Do you know of any times when a -- well,

 let me put it to you this way. The rule was that

 operators were supposed to note changes in the set
- 18 point in the log book --
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 \parallel Q. -- right? That's what you were supposed to do?
- 21 A. I did it.
- 22 Q. Okay. You did.
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know whether or not all the other
 operators that worked in by-products would always

- note those changes in the log book?
- A. I don't know that.
- Q. Okay. And the -- the foremen for by-products had the authority to change that set point?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

- Q. And do you know whether or not the -- well,

 let's go at it this way. The foremen who worked in

 by-products when you were there as an operator, one

 of them would have been a gentleman whose name was

 Hutchinson?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. And the other would have been
- 13 Mr. Cahill?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Were there any other foremen when you worked in by-products?
- 17 A. Kenny Bermel.
- 18 Q. Kenny Bermel, too? Okay. Do you know how to spell his last name?
- A. B-E-R-M-E-L.
- Q. Thank you very much. When those foremen would change the set point on this recorder, do you know if they were supposed to make notation of that in this log book?
- 25 A. They usually told us and then we logged it in

the book.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

- Q. Okay. Do you know if there are instances when the foremen would make changes and it would not get recorded in a log book?
- A. There may have been.
 - Q. You don't know?
- A. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. Now, do you remember that a number of agents -- law enforcement officers came to

 Tonawanda Coke in December of 2009?
- 11 A. That must be in the evening, wasn't it?
- 12 | Q. I'm sorry?
- 13 A. Was that in the evening?
- Q. Well, that's not my understanding. But I can't give you information. Let me ask it a different way.
 - Do you remember there was a point in time when a search warrant was executed at Tonawanda Coke?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Do you remember what date that was when that happened?
- 22 A. Not offhand.
- 23 Q. Do you remember the month?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. Okay. Or the year?

A. No.

Q. Okay. All right. You're not expected to remember those things, right? It's not part of your job.

In any event, do you recall what the -- the set point was on that recorder for the pressure relief valve at the time the search warrant was executed?

- A. Not offhand, I don't.
- Q. Okay. Do you remember that you were interviewed by some of these agents -- some of the government's agents?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Bear with me just a minute, please. I'm disorganized. I'm sorry. That's because I'm in the wrong part of my notebook. I'm sorry.

16 Sorry, Judge.

THE COURT: It's okay.

MR. LINSIN: Your Honor, may I offer assistance?

 $$\operatorname{MR.\ PERSONIUS:}$ I have it. I got it. Thank you, Greg.

For identification, Lauren, could we, please, have Government Exhibit 3511.01 put on the screen.

Now --

MR. MANGO: Your Honor, I don't know if

there's been any need yet to refresh this witness's recollection with this document. I think that's technically required before it's shown to the witness. I don't know if we need to show him at this point, but --

MR. PERSONIUS: You want me to ask a couple more questions?

THE COURT: Well, that is the proper procedure under 612, so, yes, and I'll note that for the record. It's sort of an objection a little bit in advance, but we'll allow it at this point.

MR. PERSONIUS: I think he was anticipating correctly.

THE COURT: Okay.

BY MR. PERSONIUS:

- Q. Do you remember, Mr. Cratsley, that you were interviewed by federal agents on July 21 of 2010?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And you were asked certain questions at that time about your work at Tonawanda Coke, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And there were a number of people from the government who were present when you were questioned?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. All right. And this included individuals who worked with the Environmental Protection Agency?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. Okay. And do you remember you were asked about the pressure relief valve during this interview?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you remember that you were specifically asked about the settings on the pressure relief valve?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And do you remember that you told the investigators during this interview that at the time of the warrant that the pressure relief valve was set at 160 centimeters?
- 15 A. I don't remember.
- Q. Okay. Could we please put Government

 Exhibit 3511.01 on the screen, just for the witness?
- 19 THE COURT: Yes.
- 20 BY MR. PERSONIUS:
- Q. And do you see that you have in front of you,

 Mr. Cratsley, a document? It has a yellow sticker

 in the upper right. It says 3511.01. You see

 that?
- 25 A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And, Lauren, if we could, please, make the bottom part of that first page bigger.

Okay. I'm going to -- you have to read this to yourself. Okay, Mr. Cratsley? But I'd like you to read the part that I just put the blue bracket around, that part of that paragraph. Read it to yourself, please. Let me know when you're done.

Are you done reading it?

A. Yes.

Q. Would you take that off the screen, please, Lauren?

All right. Now, Mr. Cratsley, having read that excerpt from Government Exhibit 3511.01, does that refresh your recollection about what you told the investigators regarding the setting of the pressure relief valve at the time the warrant was executed?

- A. Yes.
 - Q. And what did you tell the investigators the pressure relief valve was set at?
- 20 A. 160.
 - Q. Okay.

MR. PERSONIUS: May I have a minute,

Judge?

24 THE COURT: Yes.

MR. PERSONIUS: Your Honor, those are all

1 the questions we have. 2 Thank you, Mr. Cratsley. 3 THE COURT: Anything, Mr. Mango? 4 MR. MANGO: Yes, your Honor, just a couple 5 areas, very brief. 6 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MANGO: 7 Mr. Cratsley, Mr. Bermel was one of your 8 supervisors? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Ο. Is he alive? 11 No, he's deceased. Α. 12 Q. Are you aware of whether other operators would 13 leave drip legs in the open position during the 14 wintertime? 15 Not to my knowledge. 16 Q. During your interview with the government, was 17 your attorney present? 18 Α. When I was at your office? 19 Q. Yes. 20 Α. Yes. And do you know if -- you mentioned you were 21 Ο. 22 just refreshed that at the time you were 23 interviewed you said the pressure sitting was 160

centimeters of oil at the time of the search

24

25

warrant.

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. Right? Do you recall a longer, week-long EPA inspection happening before the search warrant?
- A. No.
 - Q. Okay. And, in fact, in your time period between 2005 and 2009, what was the standard set pressure for the bleeder?
 - A. 80 to 100.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you.
- MR. MANGO: Nothing else, your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Mango, thank you.
- 12 Mr. Linsin, anything?
- MR. LINSIN: Nothing further, your Honor.
- 14 Thank you.
- 15 THE COURT: Mr. Personius?
- 16 MR. PERSONIUS: Thank you. No, Judge.
- 17 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Cratsley, that
- 18 | nervousness should be over. You're excused. Thank
- 19 you.
- 20 | THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.
- 21 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Piaggone.
- MR. PIAGGIONE: Yes, your Honor. The
- 23 government would call Sean Hoffman.
- THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Witness, if you
- come up this way. Make your way towards the

witness stand here. The traffic guard will direct you. If you stop right there, please.

S E A N H O F F M A N N, having been duly sworn as a witness, testified as follows:

THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon.

THE COURT: All right. I have a couple of preliminary instructions for you. You're here to testify for the benefit of the ladies and gentlemen of the jury, so couple things are important, that you speak at the microphone. It's friendly, so you just have a to speak in a conversational tone, okay?

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: Sounds like you're going to carry okay. But if you don't understand a question, ask that it be repeated. Whether I ask you or the attorneys ask you, if you just don't understand it, don't answer it.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: Try to be as succinct, as concise as you can. Don't volunteer information, that's usually complicating things. If you can answer a question yes or no, please try to do that and just drop it. It's up to the attorneys to pick up on what other information they may want from

you.

If there's an objection, wait until I rule on the objection, and then I will tell you either complete your answer, wait for another question, or I'll give you some other instruction. Do you understand?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Okay. I think you're going to be okay. State your full name into the microphone, look in the direction of the jury, and spell your last name please.

THE WITNESS: Sean Hoffmann. Last name $\label{eq:hoffmann} \text{H-O-F-F-M-A-N-N}\,.$

THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Hoffmann, thank you your witness, Mr. Piaggone.

MR. PIAGGIONE: Thank you, your Honor.

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. PIAGGIONE:

- Q. Mr. Hoffmann, where are you currently employed?
- A. I'm employed at Spartech in Lockport.
- Q. Okay. And was there a time when you were employed by Tonawanda Coke Corporation?
- 22 A. Yes.
 - Q. When were you employed by Tonawanda Coke Corporation?
 - A. From January of 2002 till mid-December of 2009.

- Q. And what positions did you hold at Tonawanda Coke Corporation?
- A. I started there as a laborer. I went -- I became the leadman on the track crew, and eventually the coke handling foreman in February of 2009.
 - Q. Okay. Is there a chance you can guess as to -not guess, excuse me. Is there a chance that you
 would know when you were employed as a laborer?
- A. I was employed as a laborer from beginning

 January of 2002 until approximately October

 of 2002, when I became the leadman on the track

 crew.
 - Q. Okay. And how long were you on the track crew?
 - A. From October of 2002 until I became a foreman in February of 2009.
- Q. And in February of 2009 what position did you hold then?
 - A. Coke handling foreman.
- 20 Q. Okay. And you stayed there until when?
- 21 A. December of 2009.
- Q. Okay. Now, with respect to, I guess, the charge car --
- 24 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

19

Q. -- and the -- all right. Can you tell us what

your duties were in that area?

- A. What I did on -- that would have been on what was referred to as the battery or the ovens. I would load what was called a charge car with coal, operate it, drive it out onto the coke ovens, and refill a coke oven with coal.
- Q. Okay. Did you ever operate what is called a hot car?
- A. Yes.

- Q. What did you do when you drove the hot car?
- A. The hot car would catch an oven [sic] that had been cooked in the ovens. It would catch the oven. It would go down to either one of the quench towers, where it was quenched off with water. And then it would take it to A Wharf or D Wharf and empty on to one of the wharfs.

MS. GRASSO: Your Honor, can we get a time frame please?

MR. PIAGGIONE: I think he already indicated what years he was working on the charge car. Would you have a year for the hot car?

THE WITNESS: I operated that at different times, depending on when I was needed to operate it. I wasn't a regular employee on the hot car. I was filling in when needed. Not only when I was on

1 the battery, but when I was also on the track crew. 2 BY MR. PIAGGIONE: 3 Excuse me. What period of time did you Q. 4 actually work then on the hot car? 5 Usually that was done when I was a leadman on 6 the track crew, which would have been from October 7 of '02 until approximately January of '09, 8 depending on when they needed me to do the job. 9 Q. Okay. I'd like to at this point introduce 10 Exhibit 49.12 for identification, Government's 11 Exhibit 49.12. 12 MS. GRASSO: No objection. 13 MR. PERSONIUS: No objection, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 49.12 received, no 15 objection. 16 MR. PIAGGIONE: Can we publish that? 17 THE COURT: Yes. (Government's Exhibit 49.12 was received 18 19 into evidence.) BY MR. PIAGGIONE: 20 21 Okay. Can you see the picture, the photograph 22 on the screen in front of you? 23 Α. Yes. 24 What is that? Q.

That was commonly referred to as the backdoor

machine.

Backdoor machine?

Q. Okay. That means I have the wrong photo.

THE COURT: Touch the screen with your finger with authority so we know what -- you'll get an arrow, and that will point to the machine.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. PIAGGIONE: What does a backdoor machine do?

off of an oven. The guide on the backdoor machine would then be inserted into the oven, the opening of where the door was. It would guide the coke out of the oven into the hot car.

MR. PIAGGIONE: Okay. Just one moment, your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm glad you have this one here, Mr. Piaggione. We haven't seen this before.

MR. PIAGGIONE: Thank you, your Honor. We'll move on, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

BY MR. PIAGGIONE:

Q. During the time you were working on the -- in the track area, do you recall if Tonawanda Coke had guench towers?

A. Yes, we had two quench towers.

- Q. And do you recall the names of the quench towers?
- A. I know one was -- would be at the east end of the tracks. The other was at the west end of the tracks. I believe they referred to them as towers one and two, but I'm not sure.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall how frequently they used each tower in 2002 when you were on the tracks?
- A. When -- in that time frame I was on the wharfs they were using each one about 50 percent of the time, depending on the need, or if one was out of operation. But usually about 50 percent of the time they used them. Each one.
- Q. And between 2005 and 2009, could you recall the frequency in which those towers were used?
- A. It changed a little little. There was a -they had closed the west tower for a short time.

 They had done some work on them. But most of the
 time they were used 50 percent of the time one was
 used, then the other one would be used except for
 when they were out of use. There was a time frame
 when the west tower was out of use for a short
 time. I don't know the reason for that. It was
 other than -- for other than repairs. I don't know

- 1 the reason for that.
 - Q. When you say "a short time", you mean about a year or two?
 - A. About a year.
 - Q. Okay. And was there a time when the east tower was closed?
 - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

- Q. During that period between 2005 and 2009?
- A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Do you know approximately when that was?
- A. Yes. That was down for repairs. We were changing the railroad ties, the railroad tracks, the concrete work inside the east tower. It was down from about -- excuse me a minute, I'm trying to remember exactly the time frame. That would
 - Q. And when that tower was down, what -- what tower was used?

have been January of 2008 till about May of 2008.

- A. They used the west tower.
- Q. All right. Now, you were interviewed at one time by an EPA agent?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall telling him the frequency that the towers were used at that time when you were interviewed?

- A. Yeah, I believe at that time I told him that they were only using the west end tower during

 February for about 10 percent of the time, being there were some repairs being done to it.
- Q. And so they were using the east quench tower 90 percent of the time?
- A. Yeah.

- Q. Okay. Now, I wonder if I can have

 Exhibit 3.11, which is already in evidence. Do you know what that is?
- A. Yes. That was -- is what's commonly referred to as the tar box.
 - Q. Okay. And where is that located, do you know?
 - A. That would have been located just east in the by-products area on the road between the battery and the by-products area.
 - Q. Okay. Did your work at Tonawanda Coke

 Corporation ever entail working with the tar box?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. When was this approximately?
 - A. While I was a leadman on the track crew -- I've done several jobs while I was on the track crew.

 One of them was operating an end loader. When I was operating end loader, I would empty the tar box.

- Q. Is it possible that you can give us a time or date or year?
- A. Oh, I probably emptied it once a month myself while I was on the track crew from approximately 2003 until about 2008.
- Q. And where did you bring the tar from the tar box?
- A. The tar from the tar box was taken to the coal field and mixed in with the coal.
- Q. Okay. Did anyone tell you -- give you instructions not to have the tar sludge in the tar box hit the ground?
- A. No. Never. The instruction I was given was to empty it. We actually emptied it with an end loader, took it out to the coal field, poured it into one of the existing piles, and you would scoop up some of the coal and mix it in with the pile of coal that you were mixing it into at the time.
- Q. And by mixing it, would you mean that you'd have to put the scoop in, pick it up, throw the coal down?
- A. Yeah. Just with the bucket of the end loader mixing it into the coal. You would pick it up, empty it. Pick it up, empty it. That was the only process ever shown to me to do.

- Q. Was there anything to prevent the coal being mixed from hitting the ground below it?
- A. No.

- Q. And how would you know when to pick it up, incidentally?
- A. You would be asked to by a foreman. And this happened on on a daily basis.
- Q. All right. Now, did you do this when it was raining out?
- A. In the rain, snow, whatever the weather was.

 We were open 24/7 every day of the year. Holidays,

 everything.
 - Q. Now, when you mixed the tar and the coal in the pile, how long would it stay there before it was taken to the battery?
 - A. It varied depending on when they needed to use the -- when they had a need for that particular coal. It could sit there a day. It could sit there for weeks. You know, it was whatever they decided.
 - Q. Okay. Was there tar residue left on the end loader when you after you mixed it?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And how would you remove the tar sludge that was left on the end loader?

- A. There was no procedure for removing any tar that was left on the -- you know, what you could get off in the coal pile is what you could get off.

 Nobody worried about it. Nobody cared about it.
- Q. Did you observe others empty the tar box?
- A. Oh, yes.

- Q. Okay. Where did you observe them put the coal tar sludge?
- A. In the same, in the coal field, mixing it in with the coal.
- Q. Okay. Did they take any precautions to prevent it from hitting the ground?
 - A. No.
 - Q. How often did you observe that?
- A. As I said, the tar box would have been emptied on a daily basis. There were some times they might empty it on to what was called a pad in the coal field. But if the pad was full and there was no room, you know, or if they just wanted to mix it in, you just mixed it in.
 - Q. Do you know if the tar and coal mix was taken to the battery the same day?
- A. I seldom saw that happening. I don't remember it happening ever. Usually it sat there for a couple of days or longer, depending on how much tar

they had on hand.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

- Q. And how often did you bring the contents of the tar box to the concrete pad that was out in the coal field?
- A. Less than 50 percent of the time.
- Q. All right.

MR. PIAGGIONE: Your Honor, I'd like to bring up for identification Exhibit 3.02 and hopefully it's the right photograph.

Is there any objection? Minus any objection, your Honor, I would introduce this into evidence.

MS. GRASSO: No objection.

MR. PERSONIUS: No objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. 3.02 received into evidence, no objection, and may be published.

(Government's Exhibit 3.02 was received into evidence.)

BY MR. PIAGGIONE:

- Q. Mr. Hoffmann, do you recognize the photograph?
- 20 A. Yes.
 - Q. Can you tell us what that is?
- 22 A. Okay. What you have here is the tar pad.
- Q. Okay. If you actually touch the screen, an arrow will show up. Or a dot will show up.
- 25 A. Okay.

- Q. Can you tell us what you just --
- A. That would have been the area of the tar pad.

 That would have been the entrance to it that you could dump tar on to if there was room available.

MR. PIAGGIONE: Okay. Let the record reflect he touched the area about 2 inches to the right of the center of the photograph.

THE COURT: Record will so reflect.

BY MR. PIAGGIONE:

- Q. All right. And what is the material that's on the pad?
- A. It appears to be coal tar.
- Q. Okay. And I notice there's a ramp going up to the left. Can you tell us what that is?
 - A. That is a piece of equipment of some type. I'm not exactly sure what it does, because it's never run. I've never seen it in operation. I know it was something to do with the mixing or processing of the tar, but I've never seen it operate in the time that I was there.
 - Q. Was there a name for that?
- A. I believe so. I do not recall the name for that piece of equipment.
- Q. Okay.
 - A. We never used it. Nobody ever used it that I

1 It's really an unfamiliar piece of know of. 2 equipment as far as the operation is concerned. 3 MR. PIAGGIONE: Okay. Can we bring up 4 what has been marked for identification as 5 Government's Exhibit number 3.03? 6 THE COURT: Yeah. Leave it for just a 7 moment, the one we have, 3.02. If you drag your 8 finger, you can make a box where the concrete pad 9 is that you're talking about. I think you said 10 this --11 THE WITNESS: I'm not really good, but it 12 follows that short concrete wall that you see 13 around that area. THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you. 14 15 MR. PIAGGIONE: Thank you for that 16 clarification, your Honor. 17 May we have 003.03? Thank you. 18 Okay. Now, can you tell me -- excuse me. 19 Absent any objection I would introduce this into 20 evidence as 003.03. 21 MS. GRASSO: No objection. 22 MR. PERSONIUS: No objection, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 3.03 received, no 24 objection, and may be published.

(Government's Exhibit 3.03 was received

into evidence.)

MR. PIAGGIONE: Thank you, your Honor.

BY MR. PIAGGIONE:

- Q. Can you tell us what we're looking at here?
- A. From this picture, no. It looks like anyplace in the plant to be honest. I can see coal handling behind in the back view. I'm not exactly sure of this picture.
- Q. Okay. I would point out the piece of metal in the left-hand corner of the --
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

Q. Does that correspond with the ramp in the previous picture?

MS. GRASSO: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yeah, move on. The witness has said he cannot identify what is depicted in this photograph.

MR. PIAGGIONE: Okay.

BY MR. PIAGGIONE:

- Q. All right. Did you ever remove tar sludge from the pad?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. What did you do with it?
- $24 \parallel$ A. Mixed it in with the coal in the coal field.
 - Q. Okay. Did anyone give you any instructions to

keep that mixture from hitting the ground when you were doing it?

A. No.

- Q. Okay. Did you do that in the rain?
- A. In the rain, the snow, the sleet.
- Q. Okay. How physically would you do that?
- A. You would pick the tar up from the coal -- the tar pad. You picked the tar up in the pad in the field, and you would take it to the coal pile that was to be mixed in.
- Q. Did you ever bring the coal from the piles and mix it with the sludge on the pad?
- A. I don't remember ever doing that. I don't remember anybody instructing me to do it in that order.

MR. PIAGGIONE: I'd like to bring up Exhibit 3.04, your Honor, for identification purposes. And absent any objection, I would introduce it into evidence as 003.04.

MS. GRASSO: Can the witness identify this photo first?

THE WITNESS: Yes. This was one of the tanks --

MS. GRASSO: Okay. Then no objection.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Personius?

1 MR. PERSONIUS: No objection, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: All right. No objection to 3 It will be received and may be published. (Government's Exhibit 3.04 was received 4 5 into evidence.) 6 MR. PIAGGIONE: Thank you, your Honor. 7 BY MR. PIAGGIONE: 8 Do you recognize what's depicted in that 9 photograph? 10 Yes. This is one of the tanks in the plant 11 that was cut down and removed from the premises. 12 Is that one of the tanks that was involved in 13 the fire at one time at the plant? 14 Yes. This was in the location of where the 15 fire was in the plant, one of the fires in the --16 the only fire I know of in the plant. This was in 17 the general area of that fire, yes. Okay. And do you know how that tank was 18 19 reduced to this condition? 20 It was cut down with a torch. Α. 21 Q. Okay. 22 Cutting torches, welding torches. 23 MR. PIAGGIONE: Try Government Exhibit 3 24 for identification, 3.04. 003.05, excuse me. 25 you recognize that photo?

1 THE WITNESS: It appears to be --2 THE COURT: Well, yes or no? 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 MS. GRASSO: No objection. MR. PERSONIUS: No objection, your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. 3.05 received, no 8 objection, and may be published. 9 (Government's Exhibit 3.05 was received 10 into evidence.) 11 MR. PIAGGIONE: Do you recognize this 12 photograph? 13 THE WITNESS: It appears to be, if not the 14 same tank, a similar tank in the same area of the 15 last one. It's a tank that was cut down in the 16 Tonawanda Coke, in the plant. 17 THE COURT: All right. What kind of tank? 18 THE WITNESS: I'm assuming it was a 19 holding tank. I don't know what these tanks were 20 used for. I wasn't a part of that process. 21 THE COURT: Thank you. 22 MR. PIAGGIONE: I want, is there more than 23 one tank in this area that was in this condition? 24 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Put the 25 question again, please.

```
BY MR. PIAGGIONE:
 1
 2
      Q. Okay. Was there more than one tank in this
 3
      area?
 4
      A. I believe so, yes.
 5
      Q. We'll go to marked Exhibit 3.06. Skip over
 6
      that. Let's go to 3.07. Okay. For identification
 7
      purposes. Can you recognize what this is?
 8
         Yes.
 9
               MR. PIAGGIONE: Any objection?
10
               MS. GRASSO: No objection.
11
               MR. PERSONIUS: Just a minute, Judge,
12
      please.
13
          No objection, Judge.
               THE COURT: Okay. 3.07, no objection.
14
15
      Received. May be published.
16
               (Government's Exhibit 3.07 was received
17
               into evidence.)
18
     BY MR. PIAGGIONE:
19
         Can you recognize this photograph?
20
      Α.
         Yes.
21
         And what is that?
      Q.
22
      A. It's one of the tanks that was cut down. By
23
      the looks of it, there's tar leaking out of the
24
      tank after it had been cut down.
```

MS. GRASSO: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Grounds? 1 2 MS. GRASSO: Narrative response. 3 THE COURT: I'll allow, it since it's been 4 asked and answered. So you may proceed. 5 MR. PIAGGIONE: Thank you, your Honor. 6 Can you touch on the photograph where you see tar 7 leaking out? 8 Can we go to 003.08 for identification 9 purposes? Can you recognize that photo? 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. It's another --11 THE COURT: Hold on. Any objection? 12 MS. GRASSO: No objection. 13 MR. PERSONIUS: Your Honor, in light of 14 the testimony regarding the last photo, I do have 15 an objection. And I don't think there is a proper 16 foundation. 17 THE COURT: Okay. I'll sustain the 18 objection at this point. 19 MR. PIAGGIONE: Okay. BY MR. PIAGGIONE: 20 21 Q. May I establish foundation? In looking at that 22 photograph, can you identify what it is? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Okay. What is it? Q.

It's one of the tanks that was cut down on the

premises of Tonawanda Coke.

Q. Can you recall approximately what date that was that you observed this?

MR. PERSONIUS: Again, your Honor, I object to it. That's leading. I object to it.

THE COURT: No, the question, can you recall the date?

MR. PERSONIUS: No, the date -- he said the date that he observed it. There's no testimony from the witness that he observed it. That's leading. It assumes, that's what -- that's my point.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Sustained.
BY MR. PIAGGIONE:

- Q. Did you observe this -- this tank in this condition at this site?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. On what date did you observe it approximately in this condition?
- A. I would say that was the year of 2007,
 maybe 2008. I don't remember the exact date, but
 it had been cut down and had been sitting for quite
 a while.
- Q. Is that an accurate depiction of what you observed around 2007, 2008 --

- 1 Yes. Α. 2 -- when you were at the location? 3 Α. Yes. 4 MR. PIAGGIONE: Your Honor, I would ask 5 that this be introduced as Exhibit number 003.08. 6 MR. PERSONIUS: No objection, Judge. 7 MS. GRASSO: No objection. 8 THE COURT: 3.08 received, no objection. 9 (Government's Exhibit 3.08 was received 10 into evidence.) 11 MR. PIAGGIONE: May it be published, your 12 Honor? 13 THE COURT: Yes. BY MR. PIAGGIONE: 14 15 Q. Can you describe to the jury what they're 16 looking at? 17 This was a tank, a holding tank, that was cut 18 down. And what's left is a -- what's left of the 19 tank and the tar that was inside of the tank. 20 Q. Okay. Can you -- can you -- do you know what 21 that liquid -- what appears to be a black liquid running around the bottom right quadrant of the 22 23 photograph is? 24
 - A. Yeah. That would have been tar running out of the.

1 Thank you. Can we have marked for Q. 2 identification, Government's 003.09. I ask you if 3 you can recognize that photograph? 4 Yes. Α. 5 MR. PIAGGIONE: Absent an objection, I would ask that this be moved into evidence as 6 7 Exhibit 003.09. 8 MS. GRASSO: No objection. 9 MR. PERSONIUS: If I can just ask this, 10 will the witness's testimony be the same about 11 having observed it? 12 MR. PIAGGIONE: Yes. 13 MR. PERSONIUS: No objection. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Then I guess that 15 establishes the foundation, so I'll receive 3.09, 16 no objection, and may be published 17 (Government's Exhibit 3.09 was received 18 into evidence.) 19 MR. PIAGGIONE: I'm sorry, your Honor, did 20 I say something out of turn? I apologize if I did. 21 No, but there was no THE COURT: No. 22 foundation -- I mean, not a proper foundation laid. 23 But there technically really wasn't an objection. 24 It was a point of information, so I think we're

And the jury will get this now as

Exhibit 3.09.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. PIAGGIONE: Thank you, your Honor.

BY MR. PIAGGIONE:

- Q. Mr. Hoffmann, can you recognize what you're looking at in this picture?
- A. Yes. This appears to be one of the same tanks cut down on the premises of Tonawanda Coke.
- Q. Okay. And can you describe what that liquid is that's displayed in that photograph?
- 10 A. Yes. That's tar.

THE COURT: Is that the inside of the tank or outside of the tank, do you know?

THE WITNESS: It appears to be the outside of the tank. I can't be a hundred percent sure, but by looking at it, that's what it appears to be. I could be wrong. It could be the inside of the tank.

BY MR. PIAGGIONE:

- Q. Prior to the fire that you mentioned, do you recall what this area was like?
- A. Oh, yes. The tanks -- obviously the tanks were complete and full. It was a grassy, swampy area.
- 23 There was a -- there was a road that ran along side 24 of it, and it dropped off 3, 4 feet. It was a 25 little bit of a wet area, very wet.

- Q. And was it surrounded by coal fields?
- A. There really wasn't much around it at all.

These tanks were by themselves, the building, et cetera. The coal field would have been on the

5 other side of the road. There is the road, a

ditch, and then the coal fields.

- Q. Okay. Can we call up Government Exhibit already in evidence 125.02? Do you recognize that?
- A. Yeah. It looks like one of the tanks on the premises of Tonawanda Coke.
- Q. Would that -- is that the tank that was just dismantled?
- A. I would say, yes, looking at the buildings and structures and grassy areas around it, and et cetera. Yeah, that looks like the tank that had been dismantled, yes.

MR. PERSONIUS: I object, Judge.

THE COURT: Grounds?

MR. PERSONIUS: Speculation.

THE COURT: Well, I think it's okay as far as it goes. It will be a matter of weight for the jury, meaning, ladies and gentlemen, you have to take into account what the witness has testified to, and the manner in which he has answered and what he has said, and then you decide if it is

sufficient to satisfy you with respect to what this photograph depicts, okay? And then you may consider it, if you choose to, as competent evidence in arriving at your unanimous verdict in this case.

MR. PIAGGIONE: Thank you, your Honor.

BY MR. PIAGGIONE:

- Q. Mr. Hoffmann, you would note there was a date in the lower right-hand quadrant?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Would that in any way change your testimony as to the dates that you observed the previous photographs?
- MR. PERSONIUS: I object to the leading, Judge.

THE COURT: Yeah, sustained.

MR. PIAGGIONE: Having seen the date on this, can you tell us the dates that you observed the previous photographs of the dismantled tanks?

MR. PERSONIUS: Leading, Judge, I object.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. PIAGGIONE:

Q. Okay. Let's go back to Exhibit 3.09, please.

Now, what date do you recall seeing this -- what is depicted in this photograph?

- A. That would have been in about 2000 -- the year of 2008.
 - Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- A. This was a process that they did of cutting these tanks down. There were several of them.
- Q. I just asked for the date.
- A. Sorry.
- Q. All right. Can we go back to Exhibit 3.08?

 And what date would you say that was observed?
- 10 A. Obviously the same year, 2008 by the looks of it.
- Q. We'll go back to Exhibit number 3.07. And what was the date that you observed that?
- 14 A. 2008, same year.
- Q. Okay. Go back to 3.05. And what year would that be?
- 17 A. The same year, same time frame. These were all taken obviously in the same time frame of 2008.
 - Q. And how about Exhibit 3.04?
- 20 A. Once again, the same time frame.
- Q. Okay. Can we have what's already in evidence as Government Exhibit 125.03, please?
- Do you recognize this area?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. What is that?

- A. That would have been in the same area of one of the building one of the buildings that was in that area of the tank that was there. It looks like you can actually still see the tank there in the background.
- Q. And is there a date there on the right lower --
- A. Yes, the date at the right lower, 7/8/2008.
- Q. Okay. And what is the material, the darker material around the water? If you know?
- A. By the looks of it, there's coke, coal, dirt, everything there. Just a wet -- it looks like they've put some coke down there.
 - Q. Okay. Now, prior to the fire that you've referenced --
 - MR. PERSONIUS: Could we have a time frame for this fire the witness has been testifying about please, Judge?
 - THE COURT: Establish by questioning, please --
 - MR. PIAGGIONE: Yes, your Honor.
 - THE COURT: -- a time frame.
- MR. PIAGGIONE: Do you recall at all when the fire was?
- THE WITNESS: That would have been in the same time frame in 2008 when that fire took place.

MR. PIAGGIONE: Okay. Is that sufficient, your Honor?

THE COURT: Go ahead and see if there's an objection.

BY MR. PIAGGIONE:

- Q. Okay. With regard to the material on the ground around it, prior to that fire, 2008, could you drive heavy equipment in this area?
- A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

- Q. Why not?
- A. You'd get stuck. As a matter of fact, I believe we did have an end loader getting stuck in that area when it drove off the road.
- Q. Okay. And after the fire in 2008, did you do any work in that area?
 - A. Yes. I did some work in that area at one time after the tanks were cut down.
 - Q. What, if anything, did you do?
- A. There was still tar in the bottom of the tanks,
 and we were removing the tar from the tanks. I

 operated the excavator, and I would -- on occasion
 I would take the tar out of the holding tank area
 and put it into an end loader, which then would be
 driven out to the coal field.
 - Q. And did you see what happened to the -- at any

- time what happened to the sludge from the tank?
- 2 A. Yes. It was mixed into the coal field, the
- 3 same way as the tar from the tar box. It would
- 4 just be dumped into the coal piles and mixed in.
- 5 Q. Okay. And did you see anyone give instructions
- 6 not to have that material hit the ground when
- 7 they're mixing it in the coal field?
- 8 A. No, there was no -- same instructions, take it
- 9 out to the coal field and mix it in.
- 10 Q. Were you given any hazardous waste training
- 11 while you were working at Tonawanda Coke?
- 12 A. No.

- 13 Q. Okay. Now, who told you to work in that area?
- 14 A. Jon Rogers.
- 15 Q. And who is Jon Rogers, do you know?
- 16 A. Jon Rogers was my foreman.
- 17 \ Q. And how long did you do that?
- 18 A. How long was I mixing this tar from those
- 19 tanks?
- 20 Q. Yes.
- 21 A. Only a couple of days. Myself, I was pulled
- 22 off of that job.
- 23 Q. Okay. Did you observe it continuing after you
- 24 were pulled off the job?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. So how long did that activity occur?
- A. I would estimate at a couple of weeks.
- Q. Okay. Now, when you were working in that area with the excavator, did you notice any differences in the ground that you were working on?
- A. Yes. They had broughten [sic] in coke to put on the ground so that the heavy equipment wouldn't sink into the ground. You had to do something, otherwise the heavy equipment would have just sank into the ground.
- Q. When you say coke, do you mean coke?
- A. That would have been the coal that was

 processed in the ovens, cooked, et cetera, and then

 put on the ground. It's -- once it's cooked, it's

 a little solider [sic], giving you a better base to

 put heavy equipment on.
 - Q. Did you ever hear the expression "coke breeze"?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Did the -- do you know if any coke breeze was used in this area as well?
- A. Not a hundred percent sure. But it wouldn't have surprised me if it was.
 - MR. PERSONIUS: Well, your Honor, whether he's surprised or not is not relevant.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Sorry.

 $$\operatorname{MR.\ PERSONIUS:}$ I ask that that part of his testimony be stricken.

THE COURT: Yeah, I will do that. The testimony, ladies and gentlemen, is not to be considered by you. I mean, obviously you heard it, but it's struck from the record, so it's not proper evidence. It's not competent evidence. Okay.

Thank you.

BY MR. PIAGGIONE:

- Q. Do you know who put -- put the material down on the ground around the tanks?
- A. I would have said it was Pervis Jones that would do it. He would have been ordered by Jon Rogers to do so, so we could get in there to empty these tanks.
- Q. I'm going to call up what's been -- for identification purposes Exhibit 119.01.

Do you recognize that photograph?

A. Yes.

MR. PIAGGIONE: Absent any objection, I would ask that it be introduced into evidence as Government's Exhibit 119.01.

MS. GRASSO: No objection.

MR. PERSONIUS: I think, Judge, I prefer we get more of a foundation first, please.

1 THE COURT: Certainly. 2 BY MR. PIAGGIONE: 3 Q. Okay. Mr. Hoffmann, do you recognize the 4 photograph? 5 Α. Yes. 6 Do you know -- what is being depicted there? Q. 7 A. One of the tanks that had been cut down, the 8 area of the ground around it, the tar in the tank 9 still. 10 And what time frame are we looking at here? 11 I believe this is 2008 after it had been cut 12 down. 13 Q. Is that a fair and accurate depiction of what 14 you observed around that time? 15 A. Yes. 16 MR. PERSONIUS: Object to the -- again, to 17 the leading, Judge. THE COURT: Well, I guess it presumes that 18 19 he observed it, so start with that. Sustained. BY MR. PIAGGIONE: 20 21 Q. Sorry. Did you observe this tank in this 22 condition when you were at Tonawanda Coke? 23 A. Yes, several times. 24 Q. And is that a fair and accurate depiction of

what you observed at that time?

1 A. Yes, it is. 2 MR. PERSONIUS: No objection, Judge. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 119.01 received, no 4 objection. 5 (Government's Exhibit 119.01 was received 6 into evidence.) 7 THE COURT: You may publish if you choose 8 to do that. 9 MR. PIAGGIONE: I do, your Honor. Thank 10 you. I would draw your attention to the marks in 11 12 the -- in the photograph. I'm going to touch with 13 my finger and see if -- can you -- does that 14 indicate anything to you? 15 THE WITNESS: Appears to be the tar --16 MR. PERSONIUS: Object to what it appears 17 to be, your Honor. 18 THE WITNESS: That's a big picture. 19 THE COURT: Well, no, I'll deny -- I'll 20 overrule that objection, and then you can 21 cross-examine. 22 MR. PERSONIUS: Okay. 23 BY MR. PIAGGIONE: 24 Q. Do you notice the steep -- what appears where 25

the arrows are sort of a steep drop in the -- in

- 1 the tar sludge? 2 Yes. Yes. 3 Okay. Would you know how those indentations in Q. 4 the tar got there? 5 A. It appears that a --6 THE COURT: Well, calls for a yes or no. 7 Do you know? 8 THE WITNESS: No, not for sure. 9 BY MR. PIAGGIONE: --10 Then we'll move on. Q. 11 -- how those got there. Α. 12 Q. All right. Do you know what this material is? 13 Yes, it's tar. Α. Okay. And do you know where it went? 14 Q. 15 Α. Yes. 16 Where did it go? Q. 17 It was removed and taken to the coal field. Α. 18 Okay. Do you know how deep -- is that a -- how 19 deep that tar goes in that area? Do you know? 20 I would say no, the tank was much deeper than 21 that. They had built up the ground around it in 22 order to remove the tar from the tank, so that the
 - Q. So the tar went down below the surface of what's being depicted in this photograph?

heavy equipment could get in there.

23

24

- A. Yes. It went down approximately another

 3 feet, because the road dropped off another 3 feet
 when those tanks were there.
- Q. Okay. And who worked on that -- that area with you?
- A. Pervis Jones, Mr. Rogers, Kevin Townsend, and I'm sure there were a few others. I can't remember all the names of everybody that helped on that project.

MR. PIAGGIONE: I have no further questions for this witness, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Paggianoe. I think what we'll do, if it's okay with you, ladies and gentlemen, we'll break for lunch. Any objections? All right. None. It will be received in evidence, all right. So you can all get up, leave your notebooks behind, take off for lunch, enjoy it, and we'll see you back here -- we'll start again at 2:15.

(Jury excused from the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Okay. You can step down, Mr. Hoffmann.

Anything that we need to address?

MR. LINSIN: No, thank you, your Honor.

MR. MANGO: No, your Honor.

MR. PERSONIUS: Thank you, Judge. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 3 (Lunch recess was taken.) 4 (Jury seated.) 5 THE COURT: How was lunch? THE JURY: Good. 6 7 THE COURT: Good to have you back. Please 8 have a seat. Okay. The attorneys and parties are 9 back, present. You, of course, are here, roll call 10 waived. 11 Just one kind of preliminary administrative 12 matter. If you have noticed, Ms. Grasso was one of 13 the attorneys, Jeanne Grasso, she was second chair to Mr. Linsin. She had to leave for a family 14 15 emergency, so whether she'll be back or not we're 16 not actually sure. 17 So, like in the case with Mr. Bauman and Ms. 18 Malyszka, we have an alternate that moves up to the 19 regular second chair, and that's Ariel Glasner. So, he's going to be seated, but he's not going to 20 21 do the first cross-examination. I think you're 22 going to do that, Mr. Linsin? 23 And I think with that, we're in order. We're 24 ready to go. We have to get Mr. Hoffmann back on

the witness stand. I think direct is complete.

1 And we go right into cross-examination. 2 Mr. Hoffmann, you remain under oath. 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 4 THE COURT: Please have a seat. 5 THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE COURT: You're welcome. Thank you. 6 7 Mr. Linsin. No, you're going to do that first? 8 MR. PERSONIUS: May I, Judge? 9 THE COURT: You may. You may, 10 Mr. Personius. 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PERSONIUS: 12 Q. Good afternoon, sir. 13 A. Good afternoon. Q. I think you probably know what I do, but you 14 15 probably don't know who I am. My name is Rod 16 Personius, and I represent Mark Kamholz. 17 Α. Okay. 18 You've told us, Mr. Hoffmann, that you worked 19 at Tonawanda Coke --20 Α. Yes. 21 -- from 2002 until December of 2009? Ο. 22 Α. Yes. Yes. 23 Okay. And you left employment with Tonawanda Q. 24 Coke on December 17th of 2009? 25 Α. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And would it be fair to say you were fired?
- A. I'm not sure. I was told my services were no longer needed. I don't know if I was fired or downsized. I assumed downsized.
 - Q. Did you ask?
 - A. I didn't ask if I was fired, downsized, or anything. They didn't inform me. They didn't refuse my unemployment. I only collected for a couple weeks. I have no idea the reason. I just assumed a downsizing or something.
- 12 Q. That's what you assumed?
- 13 A. Yes.

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. But you don't know?
- 15 A. I don't know.
- 16 0. You didn't ask?
- 17 A. Didn't ask.
- Q. And whatever it was, it was the company's decision to --
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. -- to terminate your employment, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, when you worked for the -- for the company, I think you told us starting in 2002 that you were a laborer?

A. Yes.

1

7

- 2 Q. For what period of time did you work at
- 3 Tonawanda Coke as a laborer?
- 4 A. I was hired through a temp service. I worked
- from January to approximately July of 2002 as a
- 6 laborer.
 - Q. So it was a period of about six months?
- 8 A. Yes.
 - Q. Seven months maybe?
- 10 A. Approximately.
- 11 Q. All right. And as a laborer did you work in a
- 12 particular department at the company?
- 13 A. I started off working in -- making bricks for
- 14 \parallel the oven, oven bricks. And then I worked on the
- 15 \parallel wharf, and after that I worked on the battery or
- 16 the ovens.
- 17 Q. Okay. And the wharf, I think you've told us,
- is that part of the coke handling department?
- 19 A. That would be part of coke handling, yes, I
- 20 believe so.
- 21 Q. And then you went to the battery?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 \parallel Q. And how long did you work in the battery as a
- 24 laborer?
- 25 A. From July of 2002 until about October of 2002.

- Q. Okay. I guess I misunderstood. I thought that you were a laborer from January to July 2002.
- A. Oh, well, I -- from July to October I was on the battery in a position of charge car operator.
- I don't know if they consider that a labor job or a specific operation. Specifics of it I'm not sure.
 - Q. So did you work then at the wharf as a laborer until July $\ensuremath{\mathsf{--}}$
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. -- of 2002?
- 11 A. Yes.

- Q. And then from July of 2002 to October of 2002
 you were in the battery as a charge car operator?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Now, the jury has heard -- we could do a quiz,
 I guess, and ask them if they know what a charge
 car operator is, but they may not remember it.
 - Can you tell the jury again what a charge car operator did?
 - A. What I did as a charge car operator, there was a piece of equipment that ran on tracks, train tracks. It would be loaded with coal. This piece of equipment would be driven out on to the ovens, and it would fill the oven with coal to be cooked.
 - Q. The way it's been explained to us, you charge

- or fill with coal one of these ovens at a time?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

- Q. And that's what you did from July until October?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And then was it in October of 2002 that you joined what I think is called the track crew?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And I think you're going to have to, if you don't mind, explain to the jury what the track crew is, please.
- A. The track crew generally maintains the tracks, the railroad tracks, inside the plant. There is approximately 10 miles worth of railroad track inside the plant. I was in charge of rerailing cars, repairing track, fixing track, along with several other duties that I was given from time to time by Mr. Rogers.
 - Q. And Mr. Rogers is Jon Rogers?
 - A. Mr. Rogers is Jon Rogers. He was my foreman.
 - Q. So he was the foreman of the track crew?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. I don't know if you said this in your testimony
 or if I got it from one of the interview reports
 when you spoke to the agents, but you were a

leadman?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. What does that mean, to be a leadman?
- A. What it meant was that I was in charge of the laborers coming into the plant. I was in charge of taking care of any tracks that needed repair, any railroad cars that derailed inside the plant, including the equipment at the battery which ran on railroad tracks.
- Q. The railroad tracks that are inside the plant, you said there's 10 miles of tracks?
 - A. Approximately. I don't know the exact number.
- Q. Okay. But it sounds like a lot.
- A. Yeah, I guess so.
 - Q. What was the purpose or what is the purpose of all these tracks that are inside Tonawanda Coke?

 What are they used for?
- 18 We would bring in coal sometimes on -- in rail 19 Sometimes coke would go out on rail cars. 20 The locomotive that we had would move these rail 21 cars around. We sold coke to different places, and 22 if it was sold in railroad cars, the equipment --23 we had cars that moved around and pushed them out. 24 We also had what was called storage cars that we 25 would put coke into to keep it so that trucks could

- be loaded at a later date at the truck station.
 - Q. Okay. And so these tracks then were used to bring in coal --
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

- Q. -- in part. Coal would also come in in trucks?
- 6 A. Yes.
 - Q. And then the finished coke product would be shipped out sometimes on these rail cars?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And I think -- I think that you've mentioned too that this -- there's a quench car or the hot car?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 \ Q. That's on a track too?
- 15 A. That's on the tracks. The backdoor machine ran
 16 on tracks. What was called the pusher which pushed
 17 the coke out of the oven ran on railroad tracks,
 18 and even the charge car ran on railroad tracks.
 - Q. Okay.
- 20 A. Okay?
- Q. Thank you very much. And you were with the track crew then from October of 2002 until you became foreman for the coke handling department in -- was that January of 2009?
- 25 A. I believe it was February.

- Q. February of 2009?
- A. Approximately.

- Q. All right. And then held that position until you were discharged in January of 2009?
- A. December of 2009.
- Q. I'm sorry, December of 2009. That's what I meant to say. And as the foreman of the coke handling department, what were your responsibilities?
- A. Making sure that trucks were loaded in a timely fashion, and making sure that the coke process, the car that the coke would end up on the wharfs, go through the screens, making sure that the coke building was being operated in a fashion so that it was operated quickly and efficiently, making sure it was kept clean, et cetera.
- Q. Okay. You've told us that during this period of time that you worked at Tonawanda Coke that there were occasions where you would operate a device that's called a front end loader?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And during what period of time was it that you operated that machine?
- A. From the time where I was a leadman on the track crew in October of 2002 until the time I was

no longer working there in December of 2009. I had on several occasions operated them on a regular basis.

- Q. And operated the front end loader?
- A. Operated the front end loader.
- Q. The operation of that front end loader, you testified, included using it to clean out what I think is sometimes called the tar box?
- A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And removing accumulated coal tar sludge from that tar box, which you then carried out to the coal field, is that true?
- A. Yes. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Could we please have, Sheila, this is for identification, Defense Exhibit UUU put up on the screen?
 - MR. PERSONIUS: Your Honor, I'm happy to go through a foundation, but if the government agrees that I don't need to do so --

MR. PIAGGIONE: No objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, please.

BY MR. PERSONIUS:

- Q. Mr. Hoffmann, you have a photograph in front of you, sir?
- 25 A. Yes.

```
And this is Defendant's Exhibit UUU. Do you
 1
 2
      see that down at the bottom?
 3
      A. Yes.
 4
               MR. PERSONIUS: Okay. As I understand it,
 5
      your Honor, this is in evidence now? Okay. May we
 6
      publish it to the jury?
 7
               THE COURT: Yes, there's no objection.
 8
               (Defendants' Exhibit UUU was received into
 9
               evidence.)
10
               MR. PERSONIUS: Can you recognize what's
11
      shown in this picture?
12
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
13
               THE COURT: All right. Just hold for one
14
      second.
15
               MR. PERSONIUS: Okay, Judge.
16
               MR. LINSIN: Excuse me, with your Honor's
17
      permission?
18
               THE COURT: Yeah.
19
20
               MR. LINSIN: Thank you, your Honor.
21
      apologize for the interruption.
22
               THE COURT: Thank you. I'm sorry for the
23
      interruption, Mr. Personius.
24
               MR. PERSONIUS: Not at all, Judge.
25
               THE COURT: Okay. We have triple U I
```

think.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

16

17

18

19

20

MR. PERSONIUS: Yes, Judge. Triple U.

THE COURT: Okay. You may resume, please.

BY MR. PERSONIUS:

- Thank you, Judge. The photograph that's shown on the screen, Defendants' Exhibit UUU, do you recognize what's depicted in that picture?
- Α. Appears to be an end loader.
- Q. Okay. And there's a pile behind it?
- 10 Yeah. Α.
 - And do you know what that's a pile of? Q.
- 12 Α. It appears to be coke -- coal.
- 13 Q. Appears?
- 14 Appears. It could be coke as well. They look Α. 15 very similar sometimes. The small fine coke, but it appears to be coal.
 - All right. Well, you've told us that you had this experience at Tonawanda Coke of operating a front end loader --
 - Α. Yes.
- 21 -- to take coal tar sludge out and mix it in 22 the different coal piles in the coal fields at 23 Tonawanda Coke, correct?
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 Ο. And can you agree with me that this is a

- picture of a front end loader in front of one of the coal piles at Tonawanda Coke?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. And what you would be doing is when you were carrying the coal tar sludge out to a coal pile would be driving one of these front end loaders?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. In this picture the front end of this machine is in a rest position?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Now, when you were taking the coal tar sludge out to mix it in the coal pile, would it be true that that front end would be raised up in the air?
- A. It would be raised up a couple feet off the ground and curled back.
 - Q. And when you would get to the coal pile, would you raise the front end up higher?
- A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And then, if you will, dump the coal tar sludge 20 into the side of the coal pile?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And then engage in your mixing process?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. It's been described to us that that involves using that front end of the loader to do a

dragging, a back dragging and then throwing more coal in to mix the coal tar sludge into the coal, is that an accurate description?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Now, how often was it that -- that you would use the front end loader to take the coal tar sludge from Tonawanda Coke's operation and mix it into a coal pile in the coal field?
- A. I myself --
- Q. Yes, sir.
- 11 A. -- have probably done this approximately once a
 12 month for about four or five years.
 - Q. And which years was it that you did that?
 - A. Probably starting in about 2003, 2004 area until I finished working for Tonawanda Coke.

MR. PERSONIUS: All right. Could we take this picture down please, Shiela?

And then, Lauren, if you would, please, this is
I believe for identification, it's Government
Exhibit 105.40.

Your Honor, if the government is agreeable, I'd like to offer this into evidence.

MR. PIAGGIONE: No objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. 105.40 -- Mr. Linsin,

no objection?

1 MR. LINSIN: No objection, your Honor. 2 Thank you. 3 THE COURT: Okay. No objection. It is 4 received. And do you want it published? 5 MR. PERSONIUS: Yes, please, Judge. 6 THE COURT: And it may be published. 7 (Government's Exhibit 105.40 was received 8 into evidence.) 9 BY MR. PERSONIUS: 10 Q. Mr. Hoffmann, you have in front of you a 11 photograph that you can see it's marked Government 12 Exhibit 105.40, correct? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Q. And do you recognize what -- do you recognize 15 what is shown in the -- do you recognize what's 16 shown in the photograph? 17 Yes. Α. 18 Could you tell the jury what it is, please? 19 It is an overhead view, an above view of 20 Tonawanda Coke. 21 All right. And is it depicted -- these coal fields that you've testified about, are they 22 23 depicted in this photograph?

Q. Could you use your finger to point to where the

24

25

Α.

Yes.

coal fields are, please?

All right. That's -- that's -- that would be on the eastern side of the coal fields? Or is that the middle?

- A. That would be approximately the middle on what I would call the north side of the coal field.
- Q. Okay. There's a line above where you put the blue square. Do you recognize what that line is?
- A. That appears to be the belt -- that's the belt that ran through the coal field that coal would be put on in order to be sent to the coal building.
- Q. Lauren, if -- if we could, please, make what I just put in blue bigger.

We have now taken one part of this photograph and expanded it in size. Do you see that,

Α.

Α.

Mr. Hoffmann?

Yes.

Yes.

- Q. Would you agree what we are showing now would be these -- the coal fields at Tonawanda Coke?
- Q. All right. Now, you've told the jury that -what you've now told us is for about a five-year
 period, from 2004 to 2009, once a month you would
 take Tonawanda Coke coal tar sludge out and mix it
 in these coal fields, correct?

A. Yes.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

19

20

Q. Now you've also told the jury that it was
your -- apparently your observation that this coal
tar sludge, once it was mixed in one of these
piles, could remain there for a period of time. It

could be days or weeks, is that correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And the coal that's -- that's brought to

 Tonawanda Coke is brought in by either railcar or

 by truck?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And are there deliveries of coal that come to
 Tonawanda Coke on a daily basis?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And are you familiar with the amount of coal that's brought to Tonawanda Coke on a daily basis?
- 17 A. I'm not familiar with the exact numbers, no.
- 18 But I know it's several trucks.
 - Q. Several?
 - A. Dump trucks, yes.
- Q. Would you agree that there are 20 to 30 shipments of coal brought into Tonawanda Coke each day?
- A. Yes, that could be a possibility. Could be a little more, it could be a little less.

- Q. And the size of these trucks that bring in that coal, these are 22-ton trucks?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. They're a large truck?
- A. Yes, they're a large dump truck, three axle.
 - Q. Once those trucks get to Tonawanda Coke, there are different piles for the different kind of coal that Tonawanda Coke uses?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And the coal, when it's brought in, is dumped in the appropriate pile?
- 12 A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. If you could explain to us how it is that you're able to testify under oath that you know that coal tar sludge from the Tonawanda operation that would be mixed in these coal piles would sit there for days or weeks?
 - A. You'd like me to explain that?
 - Q. Sure.
- A. Okay. I had -- I had the unique position on
 the track crew I was able to drive around the plant
 in the truck. The track crew had its own truck. I
 could drive by the same site, same spot three, four
 times, depending on what I was doing. I might
 actually have to work out there in the coal field.

At one time we had what was called a bridge crane and needed -- it ran on railroad tracks. And I'd be out there working on it for several days at a time if need be. If the tracks were bad and the area had to be fixed, I was out their fixing it. I could see what went on. I could drive past to see what was going on in the plant, depending on where it was without any trouble.

Nobody questioned where I was going or what I was doing when I was on the truck, because I usually had work to do or whatever.

- Q. Is it -- is it your testimony that this truck that you're describing that you would use three or four days a day --
- A. I used it the entire day when I was --
- Q. Is it your testimony that every day you were going out to the coal fields?
- 18 A. Everyday, no.
 - Q. Okay.

- 20 A. There was some days, week at a time, I would be.
- Q. Okay. And with what frequency did your
 assignments take you out to the -- to the coal
 fields?
 - A. The exact number of times I went out to a coal

field in a week I can't say for sure. I was probably out in the coal field once, twice a week like -- on average. But that would be an average. There was sometimes, like I said, we would be out there for weeks on end if we needed to replace railroad ties on the crane tracks.

- Q. But I think what you're telling us, and you correct me if I'm wrong, is that from the time you got on the track crew, which would have been in the fall of 2002 --
- A. Correct.

- Q. -- until you took over as foreman for the coke handling department in February of 2009, it was on average that you would be out in the coal fields, did you say, a couple times a week?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And your purpose for going out there would have something to do with repairing --
- A. Not always. Sometimes I actually loaded coal on to the conveyor belts in the coal field.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. I went where -- I had various of jobs,

 depending on where they needed me. If they needed

 someone to operate an end loader and they didn't

 have anybody else available, they asked me to. And

I never had a problem with doing it.

- Q. And you're telling us that when you would go out to the coal field you would focus upon the coal tar sludge that had been put on a pile of coal?
- A. No. I would focus on the job that I was doing.
- Q. Okay. And how is it then that -- even though you may have been out there once or twice a week over a period of seven years, how is it you're able to testify under oath that this coal tar sludge would sit in these piles for whether it be days or weeks?
- A. Because there's -- sometimes I worked next to them.
 - Q. Worked next to what, sir?
 - A. The coal piles with the tar in them. When we replaced railroad ties, they would bring the stuff out there, dump it in there, and we were working along there, and it just sat.
 - Q. It just sat meaning the --
 - A. The coal coal tar sat in the coal pile.
 - Q. You'll have a chance. We have to have a question and an answer. It's all right.

Your testimony is that you could be working in the same place for a number of days, and it could be near a coal pile?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And that you would take note of the fact that there was coal tar sludge that had been mixed into a particular coal pile, and you would see it there for days on end, is that your testimony?
- A. Yes. There was certain piles that the coal tar was put into. They weren't just put into usually any pile. They usually kept it to a couple of different piles.
- Q. All the coal tar sludge always got put in the same piles?
 - A. Generally speaking, it was a couple of piles that they would put it into. They wouldn't put it into just any coal mix.
 - Q. So would it be fair to say then that the times that you're telling us you made these observations would be when you were going to be at the same place in the coal fields for a number of days in a row?
- A. Yes.
- Q. All right. How frequently did that happen?
- A. Probably once a year, maybe twice a year, depending on how often the tracks needed repair.
 - Q. So that we can better understand your testimony then, are you telling us that you would make this

- observation where you would see the coal tar sludge
 in a pile for whether it was days -- you've
 actually said weeks -- would be once or twice a
 year that you saw that?
 - A. Yeah, along with driving past the same coal piles every day I was out there.
 - Q. But --

6

7

8

9

15

16

- A. There were roads going out there that I had to go out there to --
- Q. But when you were just driving out there, is it your testimony that you would pay attention to what coal pile -- we see a picture here in this exhibit -- what coal pile had coal tar sludge in it?
 - A. Yeah, there were only a couple of piles that they put it in.
 - Q. Where were the piles --
- 18 A. They would be
- Q. Please, whoa, whoa. Let me finish, please.
- 21 A. Sorry.
- 22 Q. Then you'll have a chance.
- 23 A. Okay, sorry.
- Q. What were the coal piles that you're telling us were the ones that they always used?

- A. Okay. The coal piles that I'm aware of that they generally used were at the northeast end of the coal field.
- Q. Can you use your finger to show the jury where that is?
- A. Yes. That would be coal piles here -- oops.

 There. There. And maybe one of these other piles sometimes.
- Q. When you say "one of these other" --
- A. Maybe. That was a maybe. But the ones closet right there were the ones that were used the most often, most frequently.
 - Q. And these piles that -- you put a blue spot on two of these piles on the left-hand side of the photograph, correct?
- A. Yes.

- Q. When coal tar sludge was -- was put into these piles, is it your testimony that a day or couple days or a week later you could tell that there was coal tar sludge in that coal?
 - A. Sometimes they wouldn't even use the coal tar for days.
 - Q. Sir, was that my question?
- A. No, I'm sorry.
 - Q. Wait, and let me ask you a question. Listen to

my question, please, and answer it, okay? Once you put coal tar sludge into a coal pile and you mix it up, you're telling us that you could tell that that coal tar sludge had been placed in that coal pile?

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Can you explain to the jury what the difference would be in appearance that would enable you to make the observation you're telling them you would make once or twice a year?
- A. Okay. You could actually see the clumps of tar sitting there. Tar stuck together. Just, you know, didn't really mix in extremely well. You could actually see the tar in the pile. It would be clumps. Coal that we used was pulverized coal rather than clumped coal. And it was like almost like a powder. And the tar would be in clumps.
 - Q. Okay. What what color is the coal?
- 18 A. Black. Black.
 - Q. All right. And the coal tar sludge, what color is that?
- 21 A. Black.
 - Q. If you will, you've got black on black?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And now these piles that you pointed to here where you say you would notice this, did these 20

to 30 shipments of coal that came in a day, did they ever get dumped in these piles that you're referring to?

- A. On occasion some coal would be dumped in this area when the coal -- when that coal was used, new coal would be put there. But those aren't usually the piles they added coal to.
- Q. Oh, so the piles you're telling us about would be ones that they wouldn't be adding the coal to as a general rule?
- A. Those -- they weren't added to as frequently as the other piles, no.
- Q. All right. I see. You've told us that you would make this observation, this twice-a-year -- once or twice a year apparently over about five years, so what we're talking about at most that you made this observation ten times, is that fair?

 A. No, I didn't say that. That's when I was -- that's the time frame when I actually worked out in the coal field on the tracks. There were times when I was out there in the end loader filling -- as a matter of fact, the October storm we had a few years back, I was actually working out in the coal field. And I was one of the ones putting coal on

to the conveyor belts to feed the coal handling

building. That particular day we didn't use any of the coal that was mixed with the tar.

- Q. So one day you worked in coal handling?
- A. No.

what I did.

- Q. How many days did you work in coal handling?
- A. More than I can remember. I was put there on several occasions, not just in the field, but in the cold handling building as well. I was generally put anywhere where they needed me. I was given a range of jobs and taught several different jobs. And I filled in when people would go on vacation, et cetera, when someone would call off. Whatever was needed, what I was asked to do, that's
- Q. You viewed yourself as a very valuable employee, I take it?
- A. I don't know. You'd have to ask somebody else if I was a valuable employee. I assumed that my value was of something being that I could --
- Q. Do so many different things?
 - A. -- do various -- various numbers of jobs. I operated several pieces of heavy equipment as well.
- Q. Tell us, if you could, over this period of time
 that you worked at Tonawanda Coke how many times
 you worked in the coal fields for coal handling.

- A. There was a -- there was a stretch I did it for a couple of months at a time, because that's what they needed.
 - Q. You say there was a stretch where you did it a couple of months at a time?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. How many times did you work in coal handling a couple of months at a time?
- A. Oh, probably -- that was probably one of the few times, probably twice while I was there --
- 11 Q. Twice?
- 12 A. -- that I worked months at a time.
- 13 Q. Who was your supervisor?
- 14 A. The person I answered to was Jon Rogers.
- Q. Well, Jon Rogers wasn't in coal handling, was he?
- 17 \blacksquare A. Yes, he was.
- 18 | Q. He was?
- 19 A. Yes.
- $20 \parallel Q$. Was he the foreman for coal handling?
- A. He was acting foreman from time to time in coal handing when someone on vacation.
- Q. So Jon Rogers would know about you having worked in coal handing?
- 25 A. Yes. He would have asked me to do it. He

would be the person to ask me to go to coal handing and do whatever operation is needed.

- Q. During this period of -- these two months period where you worked in coal handling, would you agree that in most instances that the coal tar sludge that was mixed in the coal piles would then be used within 24 hours?
- A. I wouldn't agree that it was used within 24 hours. I would agree that was used probably within 72 hours.
- Q. Within three days?
- A. Yeah, usually.

myself, yes.

- Q. Okay. And these -- other than these instances when you say you were able to notice the coal tar sludge in the pile when you would go there several days in a row and see that coal tar sludge in the pile, these other instances would simply be you passing through and seeing coal car sludge in a -- these piles of coal where it was always put, right?

 A. Either passing through or putting it there
- Q. Okay. And would you allow for the fact that you may have passed through on a given day seeing coal tar sludge in a pile, and by the time you pass through again and see coal tar sludge in the same

- spot, it could be different coal tar sludge that was put there, true?
 - Yes, it could be. Α.
 - And beyond that, you told the jury that the coal tar sludge would sit there for weeks, which means more than -- to me it would mean at least 14 days to get to two weeks, right?
- Α. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

19

- And how frequently, sir, did you observe that? Q.
- A couple of times. Let's say two --Α.
- 11 0. Please. Please.
- 12 THE COURT: Let him finish the answer, 13 okay?
- 14 MR. PERSONIUS: I'm sorry, Judge. Okay.
- 15 THE WITNESS: I'd say approximately two to 16 ten times.
- 17 BY MR. PERSONIUS:
- 18 0. To two to ten times?
 - Α. Yes.
- 20 That you saw the coal sitting there for weeks? Q.
- 21 Α. Yes.
- Now, you told us that the number of times that 22 Q. 23 you worked in the same area in the coal fields 24 where you would be there every day was maybe two 25

times a year for five years, right?

A. Yeah.

- Q. So you're telling us that of the times you did that, it could have been every time that you saw the coal tar sludge sitting in the same spot for weeks, is that what you're telling us?
- A. Not just when I was working on the track, but when I was working on the end loaders as well. I worked on the end loader in the coal field. I believe I mentioned that.
- Q. Okay. But what you told us -- let me know if I don't recall your testimony correctly, but what you told us is that the occasions that you would be out there multiple days, consecutive days in a row, was about two times a year for five years, right?
- A. Working on the tracks, yes.
- Q. Oh, so there were other times that you would be next to a coal pile for days on end, is that what your telling us?
- A. I believe I said that when I worked in there for two months at a time in the coal field.
- Q. All right.
- A. I've done that on occasion too. Sometimes it
 was just a couple of weeks. If Pervis Jones was in
 the coal field and he went on vacation for two
 weeks, I might have to cover for him.

1 Now, it was your testimony, Mr. Hoffmann, that 2 the -- what we call the tar box would be emptied 3 daily? 4 I believe it would be emptied on a daily basis. 5 They tried to keep it clean. There were times when 6 it wasn't, but --7 Q. So when you tell us that you believe this to be 8 the case, is that an indication that it's -- it's 9 something you think was true but you don't know it? 10 MR. PIAGGIONE: Objection, your Honor. 11 Sort of getting argumentive here. 12 THE COURT: I'm sorry, I didn't understand 13 what you just said at the end. 14 MR. PIAGGIONE: It's being argumentive, 15 your Honor. The question, he's arguing with the 16 witness at this point, 611(a). 17 THE COURT: I think the question is really 18 what do you mean by that. So I'll allow that. 19 There is a lot of repetition here, so keep that in 20 mind too. I know there wasn't an objection. 21 MR. PERSONIUS: We're moving on, Judge. 22 That's why I'm asking about the tar box. 23 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, could you repeat 24 the question again?

25

BY MR. PERSONIUS:

- Q. Sure. When you told us about the tar box being emptied daily, you said you believe that's what happened. And to use the Judge's words, when you use the word "believe", what you do you mean by "believe"?
- A. Okay. I'm sorry. I would see it being emptied -- the frequency at which I saw it emptied I was under the impression it was emptied on a daily basis. Or it was attempted to be emptied on a daily basis.
- Q. You didn't observe the coal tar box being emptied on a daily basis, did you?
- A. Every day for 365 days a year for nine days a year [sic], no, I wasn't there that often. I worked there five days a week, eight hours a day, eight to 12 hours a day, depending on the day.
- Q. Mr. Hoffmann, you know from having worked at the company for about seven years that there are differences in production levels?
- A. Yes.
- Q. There's low production, there is medium production, there is high production?
- 23 A. Yes.

Q. If there's high production, you're going to generate more coal tar sludge, true?

A. Yes.

- Q. If it's low production, you're going to
- 3 generate less?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And Tonawanda Coke, during the time you worked
- 6 there, had periods of high, medium, and low
- 7 production, didn't it?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And if it was a period of low production, you'd
- 10 expect that less coal tar sludge would be
- 11 generated?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And less of a need to empty the coal tar box?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 \parallel Q. The coal tar sludge that was taken out and put
- 16 | into the coal piles, you agree that the purpose for
- 17 doing that was so that that coal tar sludge could
- 18 be reused, correct?
- 19 A. That's what I believed, yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. You didn't think when you took it out
- 21 there that you were disposing of it, did you?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. You knew it was going to be put back into the
- 24 operation?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And you've testified that from time to time you would operate the -- what I think could either be called the quench or hot car?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. Is that the same -- we're talking about the same device?
- A. Quench car, hot car, it would be the same thing, yes.
- Q. This would be this railroad car that's just had the result of the push, the coke, the hot coke, put into the railcar -- pardon me -- and then you take it to a quenching station?
- 13 A. Yes.
 - Q. And you did that from time to time?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 \parallel Q. When was it that you operated the quench car?
- 17 A. While I was on the track crew.
- 18 Q. All right.
- A. If they needed someone to do that, they showed
 me how to operate it in case there was a need for
 me to operate it, and I did so.
- 22 Q. Over what period of time did you do that,
- 23 Mr. Hoffmann?
- A. While I was part of the track crew, which would have been October -- actually, I probably didn't do

- anything like that in 2002. It would have been more like 2003 to 2009 when I left Tonawanda Coke.
- Q. And who was it that trained you to operate the quench car?
- A. I can't remember at this time. One of the foremen would have assisted in teaching me how to catch an oven and take it down to the quench tower and quench the car.
- Q. Who would -- who would assign you -- when you were working on the track crew, who was it that would assign you to operate the hot car?
- A. My foreman, Jon Rogers.
- Q. Again, it would be in Mr. Rogers that would do that?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

- Q. How frequently did you do that from 2003 to 2009?
 - A. Oh, maybe half a dozen times. That wasn't my main operation. It wasn't something I was overly doing. Usually they could find somebody else to operate the hot car.
- 22 Q. So you did this six times?
 - A. Half dozen times or so, yes, about.
- 24 Q. I'm sorry to interrupt. So about once a year?
- 25 | A. Yeah.

- Q. Okay. And you've told us that it was your experience that the quench towers at some period of time were being used on a 50/50 basis, right?
- A. Approximately, yes.
- Q. Okay. You say approximately. You would drive the hot car -- you would drive the hot car once a year, right?
- A. Yes.

Q. And so what is the basis for your testimony that those two quench towers from -- bless you, Judge.

THE COURT: Thank you.

BY MR. PERSONIUS:

- Q. -- from 2003 to 2009 were used on a 50/50 basis?
- A. While I was working on the wharf in 2002 you can actually watch the hot car to see which tower it went to.
- Q. And you, while you were working, would be watching what tower it was, and say, okay it's that, now it's that one?
- A. Well, usually what would happen it would go to the west tower for a couple of quenches, and then drop the product off at A Wharf, being that A Wharf was close to the west tower. It would quench at

the west tower and then drop the product off at the A Wharf. It would catch an oven, A wharf would be full, it would go to the east tower and drop an oven off at what was referred to as D Wharf.

- Q. Your testimony was you were observing this when you were working on the wharf in what year?
- A. In 2002.
- Q. So this would have been right after you started?
- A. Yes.

- 11 Q. All right. So you saw that taking place in 2002?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And after 2002 is it your testimony that you continued to have occasion to observe enough of the use of these quench towers to testify here under oath that the practice was 50/50 on the quench towers?
 - A. Yes. There's been other times I've had to work the wharf during the years as well, along with working on the railroad tracks that the hot car ran on.
 - Q. And your testimony is that when you worked at the wharf, you would be able to see which quench -- which quench tower is being used on each time that

the oven was emptied and put into a quench car?

- A. You could see it from the coal field because of the steam coming from the quench. The cloud was bigger than this room of steam.
- Q. So in between paying attention to the coal tar sludge staying in a particular spot in a coal pile, you were also watching which quench tower was being used?
- A. There were times you watched which quench tower was being used because of the air direction.
- Because the steam would have a sulfur smell to it, and I preferred not to stand in the steam cloud.
- Q. All right. Let me ask my question again.
- A. I thought -- I'm sorry, I was just trying to explain how I knew.
- Q. When you were -- is it your testimony when you were working in the coal fields that in addition to paying attention to where the coal tar sludge was, you were watching which quench tower was being used, sir?
- A. When I was working in the coal fields, the coke area, coke handling, on the railroad tracks, you could see the steam cloud from anywhere inside the plant.
- Q. I'll ask it a third time.

A. Yeah, I could see it. You could see it.

Q. I didn't ask if you could see it. My question is, sir, you were paying attention --

MR. PIAGGIONE: Your Honor, I'm going to object. This has been asked and answered several times.

THE COURT: I'm going to allow it, but -MR. PERSONIUS: I'm almost done too,
Judge. I am.

THE WITNESS: Was I paying attention strictly to the hot car? No, not all the time.

Was I paying attention to the hot car at times?

Yes. It was important to know where it might be, depending on where you were working, if you had to work on the tracks that the hot car was using.

BY MR. PERSONIUS:

- Q. When is the first time, Mr. Hoffmann, that you told the government that these quench towers were used on a 50/50 basis?
- A. I don't remember exactly. I believe -- they were used at different rates at different times.

 Sometimes they would use strictly one quench tower because of the work done on it. I don't know exactly when I told them it was on a 50/50 basis.

 But generally speaking, that's what it was used.

There were times when one quench tower wasn't used.

I think I stated that in 2005, 2006 they had

actually closed the one quench tower, and it wasn't

used at all.

THE COURT: Okay. Do your best not to volunteer information. Try to answer the question, and I think we'll make further and faster progress, okay?

THE WITNESS: Okay.

BY MR. PERSONIUS:

- Q. Mr. Hoffmann, when was the first time that you told the government that these quench towers were used on a 50/50 basis?
- A. I don't remember.
 - Q. Do you remember you were interviewed by the government on January 12th of 2010?
 - A. January 12th. That would be approximately correct, yes.
 - Q. And you were interviewed by a couple of agents from the Environmental Protection Agency named Jeff Dirks and Robert Conway?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And do you remember that you talked about quench tower usage during that interview?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And that you told them at that time that as coke handling foreman -- okay, so we're talking 2009 -- that the east quench tower was used 90 percent of the time and the west quench tower was used only 10 percent of the time?
- A. I believe they were talking about a particular time frame in February when it was used when we were doing some repairs to different tracks on the west end tower along with changing pumps, et cetera.
- Q. Mr. Hoffmann, did you tell the agents on

 January 12th of 2010, that when you were the coke

 handling foreman, which would have been

 during 2009, that the east tower was used

 90 percent of the time and the west tower was used

 10 percent of the time?
- A. I don't remember at this time exactly what I had told them or the time frame. I thought I answered that for you, and I guess I'm wrong.
- Q. Could we take down this exhibit, please? And for identification could we please put Government Exhibit 3529.01 just for identification on the screen, please? Thank you, Lauren.

Mr. Hoffmann, do you see that we have on the screen the first page of an exhibit that's marked

Government Exhibit 3529.01?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And I want to -- I want you to read this to yourself, but I'm going highlight the portion, so just wait a minute. It will be easier to read once we get it bigger.

I put a box around that, Lauren. Could you please make that bigger?

I ask you please, Mr. Hoffmann, read that -that highlighted portion to yourself, just to
yourself.

Have you finished reading it, sir?

- A. No.
- Q. I'm sorry.
- 15 A. Okay.
 - Q. Okay. Would you take that down please, Lauren?

 Mr. Hoffmann, having had a chance to review

 that excerpt from Government Exhibit 3529.01, does

 that refresh your recollection on what you told

 these two agents regarding usage of the quench

 towers in 2009?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And did you tell the agents --
- A. That's about what I told the agents that we used it 10 percent -- in the -- in February -- in

February we used it less often than we did in the east quench tower, yes.

- Q. Just in February?
- A. Just in the January, February months we used it less often. I'm not sure what the reason was, but there's oftentimes when we used one tower more than another.
- Q. Okay. So when the agents talked to you at this interview about the quench towers, you're telling us that your recall is the focus was on January and February of 2009?
- A. I believe so, yes.
- 13 Q. Okay.

- A. That was generally when I was a coke handling foreman. And part of it had to do with the winter months. They didn't use the one tower as often in the winter months on occasion because of freeze up problems.
- Q. During that interview, sir, were you asked about a quench tower usage at Tonawanda Coke for any other period of time aside from February of 2009?
- A. I'm sure I was asked about it other times. I don't recall that information.
 - Q. Now, the last area -- one more area, Judge, to

cover. And that is the -- you were shown a series of photographs on direct examination regarding the storage -- some storage tanks after the -- after they had been scrapped, do you remember that?

A. Yes.

- Q. Now, you've told us that there was a fire in the area of these storage tanks in 2008, is that correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And we looked at these photographs of
 these -- where these tanks had been, and initially
 your testimony was that it was showing -- the
 pictures were from 2007 or 2008, do you remember
 that?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And then later in your direct examination you were quite specific that these pictures showed that area in 2008, do you remember that?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. You became specific as to the year, do you recall that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And what was it that happened during your testimony that enabled you to focus in and have

confidence that these photographs were from 2008?

- A. Well, some of the pictures had been dated 2008.
- Q. Well, some of the pictures had. But the ones you said were 2007 and 2008 did not have a date on them, do you remember that?
- A. Yes.

- Q. But then you said with specificity those were from 2008, do you remember that?
- A. They were all taken approximately the same time when the towers were taken down.
 - Q. I see. How is it you know when those photographs were taken?
- A. The towers were taken down in 2008 by the looks of the one photograph, and we had cleaned it up right after we had taken it down. We emptied the tar out and cut the rest of the tower down to ground level that same year.
- Q. All right. So that we understand it,

 Mr. Hoffmann, your recollection is that the fire

 near the tanks was in 2008, correct?
- A. I believe that's when it was, yes.
- Q. And that the -- what we refer to as the scrapping of these tanks, your testimony is that was in 2008?
 - A. I believe that's to be correct, yes.

- Q. And the excavation of those -- whether it was the tanks or the area around them of tar, that that too was in 2008 at the same time?
- A. Yes. It would have all been done before I became a coke handling foreman.
- Q. You're certain about that?

- A. Because I worked on the project to clean up the tar.
- Q. You're certain about that, sir?
 - A. That I worked on the --
- Q. That all this happened before you became the foreman for coke handling?
- A. Yes. After that I only worked on it for a short period. I was still working with Kevin Townsend as coke handling foreman. I would be driving the track truck. After that I no longer had the track truck to drive. I was given what would be called -- sorry. I'm going on a little bit too much.
 - Q. Thank you for noticing that.
 - A. I'm sorry.
- Q. Are you as certain that all these activities occurred in 2008 as you are that the coal tar sludge would sit in these coal piles for weeks at a time, sir?

A. Yes.

1

2

- Q. Okay. Now, the photographs that were shown to you -- I'm not going to -- I will if you need to,
- 4 but the photographs that were marked Government
- 5 Exhibit 3.04, 3.05, 3.07, 3.08, and 3.09 were taken
- 6 by a DEC agent named Thomas Corbett in June
- 7 of 2009. Does that change your testimony,
- 8 Mr. Hoffmann?
- 9 \blacksquare A. About when we cleaned the tar out of them or --
- 10 no, I believe -- I believe we were -- there was
- 11 several tanks on the premises that we had cut down.
- 12 I'm under the impression these are still the same
- 13 | tanks that were cut down in 2008 before I became a
- 14 coke handling foreman.
- 15 \parallel Q. The photograph -- the other photograph that was
- 16 \parallel shown to you, which was Government Exhibit 119.01,
- 17 was taken by EPA Agent Leonard Grossman in
- 18 September of 2009. Does that change your
- 19 testimony?
- $20 \parallel$ A. As I said, I believe that this is when these
- 21 | tanks were cut down. We had several tanks on the
- $22 \parallel$ premises. There were more cut down after I became
- a coke handling foreman. There were several cut
- 24 down before those tanks.
- 25 I'm doing my best to remember exactly when

1 these particular tanks were cut down. But there 2 was half a dozen that were cut down on the premises 3 at Tonawanda Coke. 4 MR. PERSONIUS: May I have a minute, 5 Judge? 6 THE COURT: Yes. 7 MR. PERSONIUS: Your Honor, we have 8 nothing further for this witness. 9 Thank you, Mr. Hoffman. 10 THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Personius. 11 Mr. Linsin. 12 MR. LINSIN: Thank you, your Honor. 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LINSIN: 14 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Hoffmann. 15 A. Good afternoon. 16 My name is Greg Linsin. I represent Tonawanda 17 Coke Corporation. 18 Sheila, could I have Defendant's Exhibit HHHH, 19 which has been admitted into evidence, please? 20 could we enlarge at least the framed portion. 21 That's it. Thank you. 22 Now, I don't know if you've seen this 23 particular diagram before, but if you can take a 24 minute to orient yourself. My question

preliminarily is whether you recognize this as a

drawing that depicts the layout at the Tonawanda Coke facility showing the coal fields, the tracks the ovens, by-products, et cetera?

- A. Yes. I would say, yes.
- Q. Okay. And you were shown some photographs, some aerial photographs of the coal fields earlier, and you were asked about a line that went through those the coal field at that location. At the lower portion of this photograph, do you see what is a representation for a conveyor belt there?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And would that correspond to that same line you were asked about from the aerial photographs?
- A. Yes, I believe so.
 - Q. All right. And can we agree that the orientation, as indicated by the symbol at the top right, north is to the top of this diagram, is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Now, the coal fields, the coal storage area is down at the bottom of this drawing, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And I'd like to draw your attention to the bottom right-hand portion of this diagram, and ask

- if in this location you see two larger tanks depicted?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. All right. And is that consistent with your recollection of where you observed a fire on the facility?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And is it also consistent with the area where those tanks were later dismantled?
- 10 A. Yes.
 - Q. And one step further, is that the location from which you, at least for a couple of days, used an excavator to remove some of the tar from one of those tanks?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Now, we had a different orientation
 in the aerial photograph, but I believe you
 testified on cross-examination that the piles into
 which the coal tar sludge was usually put, I
 believe you said, were at the northeast portion of
 the coal field, was that correct?
 - A. Yes. I believe so, yes.
- Q. And would you indicate yourself by touching
 your screen with some force -- it will create an
 arrow -- where in this diagram would those piles be

located?

- A. That area approximately.
- Q. All right. And if you travel down to the right on this -- on this drawing where that conveyor belt comes to an end, there's a chute right there, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And that is the chute into which the coal from the coal fields is placed so that it can be transferred up to the coal handling building, correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And it goes by way of an underground conveyor belt, which is depicted in this photograph with a dotted line, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
 - Q. All right. And so the coal tar sludge is put in the coal piles that are adjacent to or very close to the very location where those -- that material is loaded into the chute to be transported to the coal handling building, correct?
 - A. It was close to that particular chute, yes.
 - Q. All right. Now, it appeared to me from your testimony, both on direct and on cross with

 Mr. Personius, that you performed quite a number of

different jobs during the time you were at Tonawanda Coke, correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. Would you agree with me, Mr. Hoffmann, that someone who performed any one of those jobs on a regular basis, someone who, for example, was a front end loader operator on a regular basis and moved the coal tar sludge from the by-products area to the coal fields on a regular basis, would have a better understanding of that operation than you would?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. They'd have a better understanding of the frequency that the tar box was unloaded, correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
 - Q. They would have a better understanding of the mixing process in the coal fields, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And a better understanding, in fact, of how often that mixed coal and coal tar sludge was then charged into the oven, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. You were asked about an interview you had with two criminal investigative agents with the EPA back in January of 2010. You were asked questions by

- Mr. Personius about that interview, correct?
- A. Yes.

- Q. You were also interviewed just in January of this year, January 8th, of this year, by Mr. Mango and Mr. Piaggone and Special Agent Robert Conway, correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And all of the topics that you testified about were reviewed during both of those interviews, were they not?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Now, when was the first time you told any federal investigator that you had a memory that this coal tar sludge stayed on these piles for days or weeks on end?
- A. I don't remember the first time I told them of this.
- Q. Is there any explanation you can offer,
 Mr. Hoffmann, as to why the investigative reports
 that were created about either of your two prior
 interviews with federal law enforcement officials
 don't say anything about this coal tar sludge
 remaining on these piles for days or weeks on end?
 A. Maybe they didn't ask me. I don't know the

reason why it isn't in the report. You would

probably have to ask them.

- Q. You were asked questions about the handling of the coal tar sludge, weren't you?
- A. Yes, along with several other questions.
- Q. And you were asked how you mixed it in the coal piles, correct?
- A. I believe I was asked these questions at that time, yes.
- Q. And so do I understand your testimony to be that they just didn't ask the right question?
- A. I didn't say that they didn't ask those questions. They might have asked those questions and they didn't get put into a report. I cannot remember every question that I was asked in January and in February of 2009. I spent several hours with these gentlemen being asked questions about Tonawanda Coke.
 - Q. Several hours, because they were talking about issues that were of some -- you understood to be of some importance?
- A. I guess so, yes.
- Q. You guess so?
- A. I'm not a lawyer. I don't know the reasons
 they would ask all the questions. I'm not familiar
 with the law.

Q. You didn't tell them then in 2010 and you didn't tell them two months ago just in January that you made this observation about coal tar sludge staying on these piles for weeks on end, did you, sir?

- A. I may not have told them how long the coal tar was there if they did not ask specifically how long the coal tar was there. If they didn't ask a specific question, no, I may not have answered that.
- Q. One last question. I believe I heard your testimony -- if we can take this down please, Sheila.

I believe your testimony in response to some questions from Mr. Personius was that you had made some of these observations you testified about out in the coal field because you were working on a bridge crane out in that -- in the coal field, is that correct?

- A. I was working on tracks that the bridge crane operated on. Eventually the bridge crane was removed, and they put conveyor-type hoppers on these tracks instead that they could dump coal into.
- Q. That bridge crane was deactivated quite a long

time along, wasn't it?

- A. Yes, it was. And they put hoppers in their place that could ride up and down the tracks.
- Q. So any work you might have done on that bridge crane had to have occurred before it was deactivated, correct?

MR. PIAGGIONE: Objection, your Honor. Now he's testifying for the witness.

THE COURT: No, overruled. You may answer.

THE WITNESS: I didn't work on the bridge crane. I worked on the tracks. I didn't work on the crane. It was a piece of equipment. It ran on tracks. I worked on the tracks that were there.

And then later they changed -- they -- the bridge crane was eliminated, and they put hoppers on these tracks that they pushed up and down the tracks.

They continued to use them, and they had to be maintained.

These hoppers would derail, and I would have to put them back on the tracks, along with the fact that ties would rot out, and they would have to be replaced, et cetera.

MR. LINSIN: And when was that work on those tracks, sir?

THE WITNESS: At various times while I 1 2 worked on the track crew from October of 2002 to 3 February of 2009. 4 MR. LINSIN: Thank you very much for your 5 testimony, Mr. Hoffmann. Thank you, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Linsin. Anything, 7 Mr. Piaggone? 8 MR. PIAGGIONE: No, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Hoffmann, thank 10 you. You're excused. 11 Okay we're going to take 15. We'll resume 12 again at 3:45. 13 (Jury excused from the courtroom.) 14 THE COURT: Anything we need address? 15 MR. PERSONIUS: No, Judge. THE COURT: Who is your next witness 16 17 please? 18 MR. MANGO: Keith Hutchinson. 19 (Short recess was taken.) 20 THE COURT: Welcome back, please have a 21 Good to see everybody again. Seems like 22 forever ago that we were together. But I heard a 23 shoo, a couple of those coming in. Okay. Again, 24 very important case to both sides, as you all know.

And we're still in the government's case and, as

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you know, it has the burden of proof beyond a reasonable doubt. Your job is take all this in. know you're getting familiar with a lot of what is going on operationally, and heard a lot of evidence, and it will require the application of your common sense, experience, and intelligence to work it out so you get that unanimous verdict in this case, being fair to both sides and understanding that the defendants are presumed innocent and the government has the burden of proof beyond a reasonable doubt. And remember, nobody's going to have as much information as you in the totality. Everything that you're going to need to get this case resolved you will get from the four walls -- or in the four walls of this courthouse. Keep that in mind, please. You've been great so far.

We're going to start with the next government witness and looks like Mr. Mango is ready again.

MR. MANGO: Yes, your Honor. Thank you. The government would call Keith Hutchinson.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Hutchinson, come on up. Good afternoon.

THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.

THE COURT: We're going to have you stop

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

right before you get in the witness stand. Stop right there. Good. Then you're going to turn around towards the jury. Okay.

K E I T H H U T C H I N S O N, having been duly sworn as a witness, testified as follows:

THE COURT: Okay. Couple of preliminary instructions. I think you're going to do okay. Just stay facing the jury because you're here to testify for their benefit. They have to work this all out and decide the case. You'll be asked some questions; sometimes by me, sometimes by the lawyers. If you don't understand a question, don't answer it. Just say, "I don't understand. Repeat the question." Be as concise as you can. volunteer information. I mean -- and you can understand why. Because if you volunteer stuff, you can -- everything gets more complicated. It's up to the lawyers to draw out the information from you that they want. If you can answer a question with a yes or no, please try to do that.

If there's an objection -- and the attorneys do object from time to time -- it's up to me to resolve the objection. So let me decide the objection first, and then I'll tell you whether to complete an answer or wait for another question or

1 I'll give you some other instruction. Okay? 2 THE WITNESS: Okay. 3 THE COURT: All right. I think -- if you 4 speak at the microphone, it's friendly. So just 5 talk in a conversational tone. Tell us your full name, spell your last name. 6 7 THE WITNESS: Keith Hutchinson. 8 H-U-T-C-H-I-N-S-O-N. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Mango, I hope you 10 do as well on that microphone. 11 MR. MANGO: I could only hope, your Honor. 12 Thank you. I will try. 13 THE COURT: You and Spiderman and 14 Mr. Rogers and everybody else, right? 15 MR. MANGO: That's right. We have quite a 16 cast of characters in this case. Yes, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. Well, let's get 18 down to serious business, please. 19 MR. MANGO: Absolutely. Thank you. 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MANGO: 21 Good afternoon, Mr. Hutchinson. How are you? Ο. 22 Α. Good. 23 Are you currently employed, sir? Q. 24 Yes, I am. Α.

Can you tell the jury where you're employed?

25

Ο.

- A. I work for Covanta in Niagara.
- Q. And have you ever been employed for a company called the Tonawanda Coke Corporation?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. What period of time were you employed at the Tonawanda Coke Corporation?
- A. From February of 1989 to April of 2004.

THE COURT: All right. Before you go on.

Mr. Hutchinson, what was the company that you're now employed with?

THE WITNESS: Covanta Energy.

THE COURT: Ovanta Energy?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Good.

MR. MANGO: Covanta, for the record, with a C, I believe.

THE WITNESS: C-O-V-A-N-T-A.

THE COURT: Oh, okay. Thank you very

much.

BY MR. MANGO:

- Q. From 1989 to 2004 at the Tonawanda Coke Corporation?
- A. Correct.
 - Q. What positions did you work in at the Tonawanda Coke Corporation, if you can just tell the jury?

- A. I started off as a laborer, and I worked at -through various jobs in the plant and worked up to
 be a by-products foreman.
 - Q. All right. Before becoming a by-products foreman, were you a by-products operator?
 - A. Yes, I was.
- Q. Okay. And what year did you start in the by-products department?
- A. It was around 1994.
 - Q. And how long did you work as an operator?
- 11 A. For about one year.
- Q. So when you became a -- the by-products foreman in about 1995?
- 14 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Okay. And you mentioned you were employed until April of 2004?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. Were you in that position the whole time?
- 19 A. Yes, I was.
- Q. If you could tell the jury, what were your job duties as by-products foreman?
- A. To maintain the operating procedure in the
 by-products and do maintenance and make sure the
 safety and well-being of my employees.
 - Q. Are you familiar with the coking process at the

- Tonawanda Coke Corporation?
- 2 A. Yes, I am.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

20

- Q. Do you know what the term "reversal" means?
- 4 A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. Okay. What does it mean, if you could tell the jury?
 - A. The ovens -- the ovens operating on odd and even flues. When you have a reversal, you'd either -- if it was in the odd position, it would reverse to the -- to the even -- even flues to burn.
 - Q. Okay. During a reversal what, if anything, do you know happens to the flow of coke oven gas to the ovens?
 - A. It stops during the reversal.
- Q. Does that cause any type of increase in the line pressure?
- 17 A. Yes, it does.
- Q. During your time in the by-products department, so 1994 to 2004, was anything used to relieve the
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. Tell the jury what.

pressure in the line?

- 23 A. It was the bleeder valve.
- 24 Q. Okay. You called it the bleeder?
- 25 A. Yes.

Q. That was located where?

- A. There was one in the by-products, one in the boiler house.
- Q. Okay. I want to focus on the by-products one.

 As part of your responsibilities as by-product foreman, did you have any responsibility regarding the operation of the bleeder valve?
- A. To make sure it operated correctly.
- Q. Okay. Did you have any responsibilities regarding where it should be set?
- A. It was usually set at around 80 centimeters.
- Q. And you had responsibilities as foreman -- as person in charge of by-products, did you have responsibilities regarding where that should be set?
- A. We maintained it at the 80 centimeters.
- Q. Mr. Hutchinson, I'm just going to move that up a little bit. Maybe it'll pick up a little bit if it's higher.
- MR. PERSONIUS: Your Honor, I don't have any problem with the response, but it wasn't responsive to the question.
- THE COURT: Okay. How do I -- okay.

 MR. PERSONIUS: I don't know, but it

 wasn't.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Well, let's work 2 with that. Ask another question. I think we will 3 be able to work through it. Thank you. 4 BY MR. MANGO: 5 Yeah. Mr. Hutchinson, during your employment 6 in the by-products area, what was the purpose of 7 the bleeder? To relieve the excess gas. 8 9 Q. How did it do that? 10 By opening up, letting the gas out to drop the 11 pressure in the line. 12 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Hutchinson, my 13 court reporter has to take everything down, and you 14 need to pick your voice up a little bit or get 15 closer to that microphone. Okay? 16 THE WITNESS: Okay. 17 THE COURT: All right. I know you can do 18 It just takes a little while to get everything 19 together. 20 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 21 BY MR. MANGO: Okay. So you said it would open up and it 22 23 would relieve the pressure?

Q. When the valve would open, what would come out

24

25

A. Correct.

of the bleeder?

- A. Coke oven gas.
- Q. What was the frequency with which the bleeder would release coke oven gas?
- A. It would release during reversals, that was every 20 to 30 minutes.
- Q. Okay. For the releases relating to reversals, how long did they last?
- A. Five to ten seconds.
- Q. During periods of low production, would the bleeder still release during reversals?
 - A. If it exceeded the pressure, yes.
- Q. In your experience, did you ever observe the bleeder release for longer than five to ten seconds?
- 16 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Can you tell the jury what the circumstances were that you observed -- in which you observed these longer releases?
 - A. If there was a planned outage on -- downtime on the ovens, they -- normally, they would push ahead in ovens and you'd have an excess amount of gas and sometimes you just run the steady pressure and it would release above your set point.
 - Q. Okay. Did you ever see the bleeder catch fire?

- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Okay. Can you explain for the jury how that happened?
- A. When I was an operator on midnight shifts, we had a thunderstorm and lightening struck the
- 7 Q. Okay.

bleeder.

1

2

3

6

8

16

21

- A. When it was bleeding.
- 9 Q. Okay. Describe after the bleeder got struck by
 10 lightening, what the bleeder looked like for the
 11 jury.
- 12 A. You had about an 8- to 10-foot flame coming off
 13 the top of the pipe.
- Q. Okay. How long did it take you to -- or were you able to put that flame out?
 - A. Yes, I was.
- 17 Q. How long did it take you to put that flame out?
- 18 A. Less than a minute.
- 20 Defore but I want to be very focused.
 - What was the typical set point for the by-products bleeder?
- 23 A. Typically, it was at 80 centimeters.
- 24 THE COURT: It did get a little bit
 25 muddled there. Your answer -- I don't know how

- 1 many times. All right. You said, again,
- 2 80 centimeters. All right. That's enough. So
- 3 we're going to move on.
- 4 BY MR. MANGO:
- 5 Q. Yes. Okay. How often -- if you know,
- 6 Mr. Hutchinson, how often would the bleeder set
- 7 point change?
- 8 A. It wouldn't change often.
 - Q. If a change was made, was it recorded anywhere?
- 10 A. Usually, we would record it in the operators'
- 11 log books so they knew that there was a change and
- 12 they wouldn't move it back.
- 13 Q. Okay. When you were there, did you expect all
- of your operators to log any changes into the log
- 15 book?

- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 \| Q. Did you train them that way?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Was the bleeder known to other Tonawanda Coke
- 20 employees?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. Do you know a person by the name of Mark
- 23 Kamholz?
- 24 A. Yes, I do.
- 25 Q. Okay. Was he employed at the Tonawanda Coke

Corporation?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

- A. Yes, he was.
- Q. What position did he hold when you were there?
- A. Environmental manager.
- Q. Were there ever any occasions that Mr. Kamholz would be present in the by-products department?
- A. I've seen Mark in the by-products, yes.
- Q. Okay. In fact, were there ever any occasions that you and Mr. Kamholz were in the by-products department in the vicinity of the bleeder?
- 11 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Describe that for the jury, please.
 - A. I was taking a titrate test where we were testing for sulphur removal and Mark come over to drop off some solutions while I was doing the test.
 - Q. What -- what was it like to work at the Tonawanda Coke Corporation?
- 18 MR. LINSIN: Objection, your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: Grounds?
- MR. LINSIN: Relevance and form of the question, quite honestly.
- THE COURT: Yeah. It's certainly open to many interpretations. Sustained.
- 24 BY MR. MANGO:
- Q. Okay. As a by-products foreman, did you ever

- receive any type of budget to make maintenance or upgrades in the department?
 - A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

- Q. Now, as a by-products foreman, who was your direct supervisor?
- A. The plant manager.
 - Q. Okay. Plant manager?
- A. Correct.
- 9 Q. Okay. If there were any environmental issues
 10 or concerns, where would those concerns come from?
 11 Would they come from the plant manager?
 - THE COURT: Do you understand the question?
- 14 THE WITNESS: I don't understand.
- 15 BY MR. MANGO:
- Q. Okay. You mentioned before when I asked you if
 you knew a person by the name of Mark Kamholz what
 his position was and you said environmental.
- 19 A. Right.
- Q. Okay. Would he be the one to provide you any type of environmental compliance advice or suggestions?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Not the plant manager?
- 25 A. It would be either him or the plant manager.

Q. Can you describe -- during your period of time in the by-products department, can you describe the state of the equipment you had to maintain and repair in the by-products department?

A. It was very old -- very old equipment.

Q. Okay. Did you enjoy working at the Tonawanda Coke Corporation?

MR. LINSIN: Objection, your Honor.

MR. PERSONIUS: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yeah. Sustained.

MR. MANGO: Okay. Can you describe the corporate culture at Tonawanda Coke?

MR. PERSONIUS: Object to that, too, Judge.

THE COURT: I'll give you a chance to tell me how is this relevant? Is that your objection?

MR. PERSONIUS: Yes.

MR. MANGO: Your honor, this is relevant because it goes to -- clearly, we've got as part of this case the obstruction of justice charge and that includes a directive that was given allegedly in Count XVI by Defendant Kamholz. And I think it's fair for the witness to describe what the culture was like at the Tonawanda Coke Corporation if an employee did not follow directives, and this

witness is prepared to discuss that.

THE COURT: Well, I don't think the question is proper, then, under that explanation.

I'll sustain the objection. But, you know, if you choose to do further examination, let's see how those questions come out.

MR. MANGO: Yes, your Honor.

While you were at the Tonawanda Coke
Corporation, did you follow the directives that
were given to you by superiors?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.

BY MR. MANGO:

- Q. Okay. What would have happened to you if you did not follow those directives?
- A. I'd probably be fired.
- Q. Can you describe how those directives -- well, let me ask you a different question.

Were you asked -- in your time as the supervisor of by-products, were you ever asked advice on what needed maintenance or needed upgrades in the by-products area?

- A. Never -- I was never asked. But I -- I told them what needed to be done.
- Q. Okay. Did it happen?
- A. It took persuading.

- 1 Okay. Can you explain what that means for the Q. 2 jury, "it took persuading"? 3 When I asked for --Α. 4 MR. PERSONIUS: Forgive me, Judge. 5 Could -- if we could be more specific about it and 6 identify the when and the who, so that this isn't 7 just some general statement. 8 Well, I think you're going to THE COURT: 9 tie this up, right? 10 MR. MANGO: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: I'm going to allow it on that 12 basis. Go ahead. Overruled. 13 MR. MANGO: Okay. 14 BY MR. MANGO: 15 The question was: Can you describe for the 16 jury what you mean by "it took some persuading"? 17 When I was having problems with certain 18 equipment and I wasn't getting help through 19 engineering or anything, I literally had to get in 20 contact with Don Crane to get him out there to tell 21 him that we're environmentally going to be in 22 problems if we didn't do some kind of action here. 23
 - Q. Okay. Who's Don Crane?

- The owner -- owner of Tonawanda Coke. Α.
- 0. Okay. Did Don Crane ever say anything to you

that affected whether or not you would comply with directives given to you?

MR. LINSIN: Your honor, I would object to that question on the grounds of relevance. We have no idea what directives this question relates to.

We have no idea as to time frame. I see no relevance here.

THE COURT: Well, I guess on all three grounds at this point, I'll sustain that objection.

MR. MANGO: Your Honor, may I have one moment?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. PERSONIUS: And, your Honor, what I had objected to is supposed to be tied up. I don't think it ever got tied up, but I guess we can do it on cross if we want to.

THE COURT: Well, it was tied up to the extent that it involved discussions relating to environmental soundness of the equipment. We didn't get to time frame yet. We do have an individual to whom, apparently, that statement was made or at least the discussion was had. So we were on the way, but Mr. Mango may not be going any further.

MR. MANGO: That's possible, your Honor.

1 If I could just have a moment. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 BY MR. MANGO: 4 Q. Mr. Hutchinson, can you identify the time 5 period that you had this conversation with 6 Mr. Crane? Do you remember what year? 7 A. It was a year or so before I left so it would 8 be 2002, 2003. 9 Q. Okay. 10 MR. MANGO: Okay. Nothing further, your 11 Honor. Thank you. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you, 13 Mr. Mango. 14 Mr. Linsin, cross-examination? 15 MR. LINSIN: Thank you, your Honor. May I 16 proceed? 17 THE COURT: Certainly. Thank you. 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LINSIN: 19 Q. Good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. 20 21 Q. I don't believe we've met. My name is Greg 22 Linsin. I represent Tonawanda Coke. You 23 understood, am I correct, Mr. Hutchinson, that 24 while you worked in the by-products department, 25 both as an operator and then as a foreman of that

department, that the job and the goal of the by-products department was to maximize the amount of gas that would be available to the remainder of the facility system without bleeding off gas to the atmosphere, correct?

- A. I don't understand what you're saying.
- Q. Did you understand that one of the goals -- one of the primary goals of the by-products department was to, first of all, remove a number of the contaminants from the coke oven gas as it came through, correct?
- A. Correct.

- Q. And you also understood that after the coke oven gas went through those coolers and scrubbers and light oil system in the by-products, that it was then returned to the ovens to be burned in the ovens and also routed to the boiler house for use there, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And am I correct that you understood, then, if you kind of look at the big picture, that one of the main goals of the by-products was to -- of the by-products department was to maximize the availability of this gas that was going to be used in these other two parts of the plant -- maximize

the availability of that gas without bleeding off gas unnecessarily, correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

21

- Q. All right. And the set point for that -- that valve you talked about, the pressure relief valve or the bleeder valve, that set point was something that you decided on, is that correct? Or did somebody else decide that?
- A. I never decided. That was where it was set from the time I went into the by-products, and I've never made a decision to change it any other way.
- Q. Now, am I correct, Mr. Hutchinson, that there were different levels of production at the plant at different points in time?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And is it accurate to say that when there
 was -- when production was lower, fewer numbers of
 ovens being charged and pushed, that the amount of
 coke oven gas in the system was reduced, is that
 correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And so the amount of pressure in that system was reduced, correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
 - Q. And is it also accurate that therefore the

number of times that this valve would release would be affected by the level of production?

- A. I don't understand what you're driving at.
- Q. Well, did the level of production have any affect on how often this valve released?
- A. If it was below the set point, it would not release, but if it come up above your set point, it would bleed.
- Q. Okay. Let me ask it a different way.

Were there times in the time that you were at the -- in the by-products department, were there times when the ovens would reverse and you get a spike in pressure, but that that spike wouldn't go above the set point for the pressure relief valve?

- A. I've never seen that.
- Q. All right. Let me ask you a question about the decanter tank in the by-products area, correct? Do you remember that piece of equipment?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And there was a coal tar sludge that was generated from that box, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.

Q. Was there a period of time when you worked at Tonawanda that you actually were involved in handling some of that coal tar sludge?

- A. Yes, there was.
- Q. You operated a front-end loader, correct?
- A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

14

15

16

19

- Q. And you would take it to the coal fields and mix it in the coal piles on the coal fields -- in the coal fields, is that correct?
- A. Correct. They had a designated coal pile that you'd mix it.
- 9 Q. All right. And am I correct, Mr. Hutchinson,
 10 that you understood that that coal tar sludge was
 11 being added to the coal because it helped to
 12 increase the BTU value of that coal that was going
 13 to be charged in the ovens, correct?
 - A. At the time I was putting the sludge out there,
 I didn't know what they were doing. I thought they
 were just reusing it into the process.
- Q. Reusing it and charging it back into the ovens, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. All right. You understood that that coal tar sludge was going to be reused in the coking process, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. When you took that coal tar sludge out to the coal field, you weren't intending to dispose of

that coal tar sludge out there, were you?

MR. MANGO: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Grounds?

MR. MANGO: One, this is beyond the scope of direct. I've let it go a little bit but, secondly, he's testified he just brought it out there and dumped it on the coal field. So I think he was asked and answered that question already.

THE COURT: No. I think -- I think this line of questioning in -- to a limited extent is okay. So I'll overrule the objection.

You may proceed.

BY MR. LINSIN:

- Q. Would you like me to repeat the question?
- A. Can you repeat it?
- Q. Sure. When you took this coal tar sludge -- and for what period of time did you do this, sir?

 I don't want to make this larger than it seems.
- 19 How long did you do this?
 - A. Two years, two and a half years.
 - Q. All right. And when you took this coal tar sludge out to the coal field and mixed it with the coal, it wasn't your intention to dispose of that coal tar sludge out in the coal field, was it?
 - A. We disposed of it into the coal pile.

- Q. You mixed it into the coal pile, correct?
- A. Correct.

Q. And then you knew that that was then going to be used back in the ovens, correct?

MR. MANGO: Objection, your Honor. He answered it. He said, "We disposed of it into the coal pile."

THE COURT: No. He did say that, but this is a follow-up question. So --

BY MR. LINSIN:

- Q. When you mixed it in the coal pile, you knew that what was going to happen to that was that it was going to be charged back into the ovens, correct?
- A. Well, they were going to -- the crane was going to pick it up and it was going to go up into the coal bunker, yes.
- Q. All right. All right.

THE COURT: And again, Mr. Hutchinson, you're doing it the right way. If you don't understand the question, just ask that it be repeated. Okay?

BY MR. LINSIN:

Q. And just one last question. There was a separate maintenance department at Tonawanda Coke,

1 correct? 2 We had maintenance and we had electricians. 3 Q. Right. And you were asked questions about 4 by-products itself didn't have a budget for 5 maintenance. Do you recall that question? 6 Yes, I do. Α. 7 But there was a separate maintenance department 8 at Tonawanda Coke, wasn't there? 9 There was a maintenance department. Α. 10 MR. LINSIN: I have nothing further, your 11 Honor. Thank you. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Linsin. 13 Mr. Personius. 14 MR. PERSONIUS: Thank you, Judge. 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. PERSONIUS: 16 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Hutchinson. 17 A. Good afternoon. 18 My name is Rod Personius, and I represent Mark 19 Kamholz. Just so we've done this, you recognize Mr. Kamholz? 20 21 A. I recognize him. 22 MR. PERSONIUS: Would you stand up, 23 please, Mark? 24

And, your Honor, we've had him identified for

25

the record.

1629 1 THE COURT: Okay. And the identification 2 is made of defendant Mark Kamholz by 3 Mr. Hutchinson. 4 BY MR. PERSONIUS: 5 Q. You've been interviewed by the agents in this 6 case, correct, Mr. Hutchinson? 7 I was interviewed by the state and private 8 investigators, yes. 9 And they asked you about Mr. Kamholz? Q. 10 Yes, they did. Α.

> And is it correct that you told them that, in Q. your experience, Mark Kamholz is a straight shooter?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MANGO: Objection, your Honor. testifying. This witness is on the stand. He's referring to interviews from a private investigator. We don't have those. It sounds like Mr. Personius is referring to those. We've never been provided those reports.

MR. PERSONIUS: Could we put on the screen, please, for identification, Government Exhibit 3532.01.

Mr. Hutchinson, do you see on the screen Government Exhibit -- you got a different pair of glasses?

1 THE WITNESS: I see something. I can't 2 read it. 3 MR. MANGO: You don't have bifocals? 4 THE WITNESS: No, I can't wear them. 5 BY MR. PERSONIUS: 6 Q. You can't. Okay. Can you see it now, sir? 7 Yes. Α. 8 Good. Do you see there's a big yellow sticker Q. 9 in the upper right that says 3532.01? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. 12 And Lauren, could we please go to the third 13 page? 14 MR. MANGO: Your Honor, I'm going to 15 object here. He hasn't been inconsistent yet. My 16 concern was reference to a private investigator. 17 The government doesn't have private investigators. 18 So if there is a report from a private investigator 19 and the witness is on the stand and Mr. Personius 20 is going to use it, that was my concern. But --21 THE COURT: Okay, and we're there. 22 made the record. We're not talking about a private 23 investigator's report. We're not talking yet about 24 refreshing recollection. We're not talking about

impeachment, so, slightly premature. Let's see

1 what Mr. Personius is going to do with this. 2 BY MR. PERSONIUS: 3 Q. Let's go at it this way. Mr. Hutchinson, on July 8 of --4 5 We should take this down, Lauren, please. 6 On July 8 of 2010, were you interviewed by 7 individuals who worked for the government? 8 Somewhere around the time sounds right, yes. 9 You remember sometime in the summer of 2010 you Q. 10 were interviewed? 11 Yes. Α. 12 Q. Had to do with Tonawanda Coke? 13 Α. Yeah. 14 Q. And one of the -- do you remember that one of 15 the people who interviewed you is a DEC, Department of Environmental Conservation, investigator whose 16 17 name is Robert O'Connor? 18 I believe that was his name. 19 Q. And then there was a gentleman -- there was an 20 EPA, Environmental Protection Agency, Special Agent 21 whose name is Robert Conway? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Okay. And Mr. Conway, do you see him sitting

24

25

Α.

at the second table?

Yes, I do.

- Q. And they asked you a series of questions about Tonawanda Coke, right?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And do you remember one of the things they asked you was about Mr. Kamholz?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And do you remember that you told them, these government investigators, that Mr. Kamholz was a straight shooter?
- A. I'm not sure if them were my exact words, but I could have said that, yes.
- Q. Okay. Could we put Government Exhibit 3532.01 for identification back on the screen, Lauren.

And Mr. Hutchinson, do you see that the document that's on this screen for you to look at has a yellow sticker in the upper right that says 3532.01?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Could we please go to the third page, Lauren.

 And do you see down at the lower right that
 there's a number. It says 3532.01-0003?
- 22 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. We've made a part of this document bigger so hopefully it's easier to read.
- 25 A. Yes.

Q. Okay. I want you, please, to read to yourself the -- the paragraph -- short paragraph that now appears on the screen. Let us know when you're done, please.

Are you done reading it?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

Q. Would you take it down, please, Lauren.

Is your recollection refreshed, Mr. Hutchinson, that on July 8, 2010, you told these government investigators that Mark Kamholz is a straight shooter?

- 12 A. Correct.
 - Q. All right. And do you recall you also told them that Mr. Kamholz didn't fail to jump on you when there were compliance issues?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, as the -- the foreman of the by-products area, Mr. Hutchinson -- by the way, you did that for about ten years?
 - A. Roughly, yes.
 - Q. All right. Was one of your responsibilities the -- this pressure relief valve?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And that included the setting of that release valve, where it was set at?

- A. To maintain the setting, yes.
- Q. Okay. And you've testified that the -- any changes in that pressure setting were supposed to be put in a by-products log book?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

- Q. And is it true that the changes in the setting didn't always find their way into the log book?
 - A. If someone was to change the bleeder setting, it was logged in the book.
- Q. Okay. Was it your experience that every single time the pressure changed, it was put in the log book?
 - A. It was rarely changed.
 - Q. Okay. But when it was, was it your experience that the change always found its way into the log book?
- A. If I changed the setting, I logged it in the book that it was changed.
- 19 Q. If you did. Did the operators who worked under 20 you ever change it?
- 21 A. Not to my knowledge, no.
- Q. So the only changes that were made to the pressure setting were made by you?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And then you would make an entry in the log

book? 1 2 A. Correct. 3 MR. PERSONIUS: Your honor, I have no 4 further questions. 5 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Personius. 6 Mr. Mango. 7 MR. MANGO: Yes, your Honor. Thank you. 8 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MANGO: 9 Almost done, Mr. Hutchinson. Okay. Q. 10 You were asked on cross-examination about 11 conserving gas at the -- in the coking process. 12 Do you remember being asked about conserving 13 qas? 14 A. Yes. 15 Have you ever seen gas coming out of the drip 16 legs? 17 Α. Yes. 18 The paragraph that you were shown by attorney 19 Personius, do you remember that on the screen? 20 Α. Yes, I do.

Q. Okay. Do you remember saying that Mr. Kamholz knew of the PRV existence and the spikes in the pressure on the oven reversals?

A. Yes.

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MANGO: Thank you, your Honor.

1 Nothing else. 2 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Mango. 3 MR. LINSIN: Nothing further, your Honor. 4 Thank you. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Linsin, thank you. 6 Mr. Personius. 7 MR. PERSONIUS: No, thank you. 8 THE COURT: Okay. You've got a choice, 9 Mr. Hutchinson, you can leave or you can stay for a 10 while. 11 THE WITNESS: I'll leave. 12 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very 13 much. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Mango. 16 MR. MANGO: Your Honor, our next witness 17 is going to be lengthy. We can start him. It is 18 Harish Patel. I'm at the discretion of the Court, 19 though. 20 THE COURT: Okay. I think probably it 21 makes more sense to start tomorrow. Okay. 22 Does that work for everybody in the jury? All 23 right. 24 Miss Labuzzetta.

We'll try to start as close to 9:30 tomorrow as

we possibly can so we'd like to see you here about what time? THE JURY: 9:30. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Please keep your minds open. Be safe on your return home. look forward to seeing you again tomorrow morning. Thank you very much for everything you're doing. We appreciate it. (Jury excused from the courtroom.) THE COURT: Okay. Do we need to address anything before we break? MR. MANGO: No, your Honor. MR. PERSONIUS: No, Judge. MR. LINSIN: No, Judge. Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. MR. LINSIN: Thank you, your Honor.

CERTIFICATION I certify that the foregoing is a Correct transcription of the proceedings Recorded by me in this matter. s/Michelle L. McLaughlin Michelle L. McLaughlin, RPR Official Reporter U.S.D.C., W.D.N.Y.